

1	TORI VERBER SALAZAR District Attorney of San Joaquin County	FILED
2	CELESTE KAISCH, SBN 234174 Deputy District Attorney	SUPERIOR COURT Brandon E. Riley, Clerk
3	Consumer and Environmental Crimes Unit	Rita Gomez
4	222 E. Weber Avenue, Room 202 Stockton, CA 95202	December 14, 2020
.5	Telephone: (209) 468-2400 Email: celeste.kaisch@sjcda.org	
6	Attorneys for Plaintiff, The People of the State of California	
7		6435,00-606122
8	SUPERIOR COUR'	T OF CALIFORNIA
9	COUNTY OF S	SAN JOAQUIN
10	THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA,	Case No. STK-CV-UBT-2020-0010309
11		STIPULATION FOR ENTRY OF FINAL
12	Plaintiff,	JUDGMENT AND PERMANENT INJUNCTION, AND ORDER
13	V.	
14	UNIFIED GROCERS, INC. a California corporation;	Exempt from fees per Gov. Code, § 6103
15	Defendant.	
16		
17	Plaintiff, THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE	E OF CALIFORNIA, generally appearing
18	through its attorneys, Tori Verber Salazar, Distric	ct Attorney of San Joaquin County; Jackie
19	Lacey, District Attorney of Los Angeles County;	, Todd Spitzer, District Attorney of Orange
20	County; Michael A. Hestrin, District Attorney of	Riverside County; and Jeff W. Reisig, District
21	Attorney of Yolo County (collectively, "the Peor	ple") and Defendant UNIFIED GROCERS, INC.,
22	a California corporation ("Defendant"), generally	y appearing through its attorney, Michael J. Steel,
23	hereby stipulate and agree as follows:	
24	This Court may enter this Stipulate	tion for Entry of Final Judgment and Permanent
25	Injunction ("Final Judgment") before the taking of	of any proof and without trial or adjudication of
26	any fact or law;	

28

2.

This Court has subject matter jurisdiction over the matters alleged in this action

and personal jurisdiction over the parties to this Final Judgment;

 3. This Final Judgment is a fair and reasonable resolution of the matters alleged in the People's Complaint;

- 4. Entry of this Final Judgment is not an admission or denial by Defendant regarding any issue of law or fact in the above-captioned matter or any violation of any law;
- 5. This Final Judgment shall be binding upon the People and upon the Defendant with respect to its Facilities (as defined below). This Final Judgment shall in no way bind, or be interpreted to bind, Defendant or any of its subsidiaries, affiliates or sister companies, except as otherwise provided herein pursuant to the terms set forth in Paragraph 4.1; and
- 6. The People and Defendant (collectively, "the Parties") waive any right to set aside the Final Judgment through any collateral attack, and further waive their right to appeal from the Final Judgment.

NOW THEREFORE, the People and Defendant having requested this Court enter this Final Judgment, and the Court having considered the Final Judgment reached between the Parties, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUDGED, AND DECREED as follows:

#### 1. JURISDICTION

This Court has subject matter jurisdiction over the matters alleged in this action and personal jurisdiction over the Parties to this Final Judgment.

#### 2. SETTLEMENT OF DISPUTED CLAIMS

This Final Judgment is a fair and reasonable resolution of the Covered Matters (as defined in Paragraph 6 below), and is in the best interest of the public.

#### 3. **DEFINITIONS**

Except where otherwise expressly defined in this Final Judgment, all terms shall be interpreted consistent with Health and Safety Code section 25100 et seq. (Hazardous Waste Control Law), section 25270 et seq. (Aboveground Petroleum Storage Act), section 25280 et seq. (Underground Storage of Hazardous Substances Law), section 117600 et seq. (Medical Waste Management Act), and the regulations promulgated under these sections.

"Certified Unified Program Agency" or "CUPA" is defined in Health and Safety Code sections 25123.7, subdivision (b), 25270.2, subdivision (c)(1), and 25281, subdivision (d)(1), and

 means the agency that, pursuant to Chapter 6.11 of Division 20 of the Health and Safety Code, and Title 27 of the California Code of Regulations, is certified by the California Environmental Protection Agency with the jurisdictional responsibility and authority to implement and enforce certain state environmental program requirements specified in Health and Safety Code section 25404, subdivision (c)(1).

"Effective Date" means the date upon which Defendant receives notice of the Court's entry of this Final Judgment.

"Facilities" means the facilities currently owned and operated by Defendant which are located at 1990 Piccoli Road, Stockton, California and 5200 Sheila Street, Commerce, California.

"Participating Agency" means an agency that has been designated by the CUPA to administer one or more state environmental programs on behalf of the CUPA.

#### 4. INJUNCTIVE RELIEF

### 4.1 Applicability

The provisions of this injunction are applicable to Defendant and its respective successor corporations or assignees, and all persons, partnerships, corporations, and other entities that have Direct Operational Control over Defendant's hazardous waste management program and that are subject to the jurisdiction of the courts in the State of California, acting under, on behalf of, or at the direction of Defendant, or its respective successor corporations or assigns, with notice of this injunction. "Direct Operational Control" shall be interpreted to mean any person, partnership, corporation, or other entity that actively participates in the operation of hazardous waste and/or hazardous materials management programs for the Facilities.

### 4.2 General Injunctive Provision

Pursuant to the provisions of Health and Safety Code sections 25181, 25270.12, 25299.01, 118325, and Business and Professions Code section 17203, Defendant is permanently enjoined from violating Chapters 6.5, 6.67, 6.7 of Division 20 and section 117600 et seq. of the Health and Safety Code and the regulations promulgated under these chapters. Notwithstanding any other provision in this Final Judgment, nothing in this Final Judgment shall relieve Defendant from prospectively complying with any and all applicable laws and regulations.

# 4.3 Specific Injunctive Provisions

Pursuant to Health and Safety Code sections 25181, 118325, 25270.12, 25299.01, and Business and Professions Code section 17203, Defendant is enjoined, restrained, and prohibited from doing any of the following:

- 4.3.a Disposing, or causing the disposal of, any hazardous waste at a point not authorized by law, to the extent required by Health & Safety Code sections 25189 and 25189.2, including, without limitation, to any front-loader dumpster, trash compactor, dumpster, roll-off bin, drain, sink, or toilet at any of the Facilities, or onto the surface or subsurface of the ground at any unauthorized location in California, or at a landfill or transfer station in California not authorized to receive hazardous waste.
- 4.3.b Transporting, or causing to be transported, any hazardous waste to an unauthorized location in California, to the extent required by Health and Safety Code section 25189.5.
- 4.3.c Transporting, transferring custody of, or causing to be transported in California hazardous waste using a transporter that was not registered to transport hazardous waste, to the extent by Health and Safety Code section 25163.
- 4.3.d Transporting hazardous waste without a Uniform Hazardous Waste Manifest, to the extent required by Health and Safety Code section 25160, subdivision (d).
- 4.3.e Failing to determine, at each Facility, whether each item of waste generated is a "hazardous waste," including items returned by customers to the Facilities and wastes generated at the Facilities, and to retain all test results, waste analyses, or other determinations for three (3) years, to the extent required by California Code of Regulations, title 22, sections 66262.11 and 66262.40, subdivision (c).
- 4.3.f Failing to amend a Contingency Plan, as defined in California Code of Regulations, title 22, sections 66265.50-56, to the extent required by California Code of Regulations, title 22, section 66265.54. Failing to train facility personnel within six (6) months after their date of employment or assignment to a Facility, or to a new position, to the extent required by California Code of Regulations, title 22, section 66265.16, subdivision (b).

- 4.3.g Failing to train facility personnel in an annual review, to the extent required by California Code of Regulations, title 22, section 66265.16, subdivision (c).
- 4.3.h Failing to maintain hazardous waste management training documents and records at the Facilities, to the extent required by California Code of Regulations, title 22, section 66265.16, subdivisions (d).
- 4.3.i Failing to prepare and maintain copies of hazardous waste manifests for three (3) years to the extent required by California Code of Regulations, title 22, section 66262.40, et seq. As used in this paragraph "manifest" means a shipping document originated and signed by a generator of hazardous waste that contains all of the information required by law and that complies with all applicable federal and state regulations, and includes, but is not limited to, receipts.
- 4.3.j Failing to contact the transporter and/or the owner or operator of the designated facility that was to receive hazardous waste from Defendant, to determine the status of the hazardous waste, in the event of non-receipt of a copy of the manifest with the handwritten signature of the owner or operator of the designated facility within thirty-five (35) days of the date the waste was accepted by the initial transporter, to the extent required by California Code of Regulations, title 22, section 66262.42, subdivision (a).
- 4.3.k Failing to submit an Exception Report to the California Department of Toxic Substances Control ("DTSC") after the generator did not receive a copy of the manifest with the handwritten signature of the owner or operator of the designated facility which was to receive the hazardous waste within forty-five (45) days of the date the waste was accepted by the initial transporter at the Facilities, to the extent required by California Code of Regulations, title 22, section 66262.42, subdivision (b).
- 4.3.1 Failing to maintain a log for daily tank inspections, to the extent required by California Code of Regulations, title 22, section 66265.195, subdivision (c).
- 4.3 m Failing to comply with new hazardous waste tank system assessment requirements, to the extent required by California Code of Regulations, title 22, section 66265.192.

4.3.n Failing to maintain or operate the Facilities in a manner to minimize the possibility
of a fire, explosion, or any unplanned release of hazardous waste to air, soil, or surface water that
could threaten human health or the environment, to the extent required by California Code of
Regulations, title 22, section 66265.31 and Title 40 of Code of Federal Regulations, section
265.31.

- 4.3.0 Failing to test and maintain all Facility communications or alarm systems, fire protection equipment, spill control equipment, and decontamination equipment to assure its proper operation in time of emergency, to the extent required by California Code of Regulations, title 22, section 66565.33.
- 4.3.p Failing to store hazardous waste in a container that is in good condition at the Facilities, to the extent required by California Code of Regulations, title 22, section 66265.171.
- 4.3.q Failing to keep containers of hazardous waste closed and/or sealed at the Facilities, except when removing or adding hazardous waste, to the extent required by California Code of Regulations, title 22, section 66265.173.
- 4.3.r Failing to properly manage, identify the date of accumulation, or label containers of hazardous waste at the Facilities, to the extent required by California Code of Regulations, title 22, section 66262.34, subdivision (f).
- 4.3,s Failing to complete a Spill Prevention, Control, and Countermeasure Plan ("SPCC Plan") certification by a licensed Professional Engineer in accordance with Title 40 of the Code of Federal Regulations, section 112.3, subdivision (d), to the extent required by Health and Safety Code section 25270.4.5.
- 4.3.t Failing to amend the SPCC Plan to the extent required by Title 40 of the Code of Federal Regulations, section 112.5, subdivision (a), to the extent required by Health and Safety Code section 25270.4.5.
- 4.3.u Failing to prepare and maintain the SPCC Plan to the extent required by Health and Safety Code section 25270.4.5.
- 4.3.v Failing to have the full approval of management to commit the necessary resources to fully implement the SPCC Plan in accordance with Title 40 of the Code of Federal

Regulations, section 112.7, to the extent required by Health and Safety Code section 25270.4.5.

- 4.3.w Failing to include in the SPCC Plan, the physical layout of the Facility and a Facility diagram including all required information in accordance with Title 40 of the Code of Federal Regulations, section 112.7, subdivision (a)(3), to the extent required by Health and Safety Code section 25270.4.5.
- 4.3.x Failing to provide in the SPCC Plan, appropriate secondary containment, diversionary structures, or equipment at the Facilities to prevent a discharge in accordance with Title 40 of the Code of Federal Regulations, section 112.7, subdivision (c), to the extent required by Health and Safety Code section 25270.4.5.
- 4.3.y Failing to conduct inspections for all Aboveground Petroleum Storage Act regulated containers and maintain records for three (3) years at the Facilities pursuant to Title 40 of the Code of Federal Regulations, section 112.7, subdivision (e), to the extent required by Health and Safety Code section 25270.4.5.
- 4.3.z Failing to designate and train oil-handling personnel on discharge prevention procedures and to conduct discharge prevention briefings at least once a year at the Facilities in accordance with Title 40 of the Code of Federal Regulations, section 112.7, subdivision (f), to the extent required by Health and Safety Code section 25270.4.5.
- 4.3.aa Failing to position or locate mobile or portable oil storage containers to prevent a discharge in accordance with Title 40 of the Code of Federal Regulations, section 112.8, subdivision (c)(11), to the extent required by Health and Safety Code section 25270.4.5.
- 4.3.bb Failing to provide and maintain adequate secondary containment and ensure that diked areas are sufficiently impervious to contain discharged oil at the Facilities pursuant to Title 40 of the Code of Federal Regulations, section 112.8, subdivision (c)(2), to the extent required by Health and Safety Code section 25270.4.5.
- 4.3.ccFailing to test or inspect each aboveground container for integrity by qualified personnel, which takes into account container size, configuration, and design pursuant to Title 40 of the Code of Federal Regulations, section 112.8, subdivision (c)(6), to the extent required by Health and Safety Code section 25270.4.5.

4.3.dd Failing to provide each container with a high level monitoring device to the extent
required by Title 40 of the Code of Federal Regulations, section 112.8, subdivision (c)(8)(i-iv), to
the extent required by Health and Safety Code section 25270.4.5.

- 4.3.ee Failing to regularly test liquid level sensing devices to ensure proper operation pursuant to Title 40 of the Code of Federal Regulations, section 112.8, subdivision (c)(8)(v), to the extent required by Health and Safety Code section 25270.4.5.
- 4.3.ff Failing to regularly inspect aboveground valves, piping, and appurtenances pursuant to Title 40 of the Code of Federal Regulations, section 112.8, subdivision (d)(4), to the extent required by Health and Safety Code section 25270.4.5.
- 4.3.gg Failing to install and maintain underground storage tank ("UST") monitoring equipment to detect a leak at the earliest opportunity, to the extent required by California Code of Regulations, title 23, section 2630, subdivision (d).
- 4.3.hh Failing to electronically submit a UST Monitoring Program approved by the local agency, to the extent required by California Code of Regulations, title 23, sections 2632, subdivision (d)(1), 2634, subdivision (d), and 2641, subdivision (h).
- 4.3.ii Failing to electronically submit a UST Monitoring Program identifying the locations where the monitoring will be performed at the Facility, to the extent required by California Code of Regulations, title 23, section 2632, subdivision (d)(1)(C).
- 4.3.jj Failing to have an approved UST Response Plan, to the extent required by California Code of Regulations, title 23, section 2632, subdivision (d)(2).
- 4.3.kk Failing to install automatic line leak detectors on underground pressurized piping, to the extent required by California Code of Regulations, title 23, section 2636, subdivision (f)(2).
- 4.3.ll Failing to obtain a permit from the local agency to operate an underground storage tank at the Facility, to the extent required by Health and Safety Code section 25284 and California Code of Regulations, title 23, section 2711, subdivision (d).
- 4.3.mm Failing to maintain UST monitoring, maintenance and follow up action records for a period of at least three (3) years, to the extent required by California Code of Regulations, title 23, section 2712, subdivision (b).

4.3.nn Failing to retain a copy of the permit and all conditions and attachments, including
monitoring plans at each Facility, to the extent required by California Code of Regulations, title
23. section 2712, subdivision (i).

- 4.3.00 Failing to submit to the California Environmental Reporting System ("CERS"), within thirty (30) days, a signed statement identifying the designated UST operator for each Facility, to the extent required by California Code of Regulations, title 23, section 2715, subdivision (a).
- 4.3.pp Failing to have designated operator inspect all required items and/or the inspection reports not completed, to the extent required by California Code of Regulations, title 23, section 2715, subdivision (c).
- 4.3.qq Failing to have designated operator maintain monthly inspection reports on site for at least twelve (12) months, to the extent required by California Code of Regulations, title 23, section 2715, subdivision (e).
- 4.3.rr Failing to maintain a monitoring system for water intrusion and for removing the water, to the extent required by Health and Safety Code section 25291, subdivision (e).
- 4.3.ss Failing to maintain evidence of financial responsibility for bodily injury and property damage caused by a release from the UST system at the Facility in accordance with Health and Safety Code section 25299.3, to the extent required by Health and Safety Code section 25292.2, subdivision (a).
- 4.3.tt Failing to test monitoring and leak detection equipment annually, to the extent required by California Code of Regulations, title 23, section 2638, subdivision (a).
- 4.3.uu Failing to comply with the California Medical Waste Management Act ("MWMA"), Health and Safety Code section 117600 et seq., by failing to have a Medical Waste Management Plan, to the extent required by Health and Safety Code section 117935.
- 4.3.vv Failing to comply with the California MWMA, Health and Safety Code section 117600 et seq., by failing to retain on file disposal receipts and tracking documents for waste shipped offsite for three (3) years, to the extent required by Health and Safety Code section 117943.

4.3.wwFailing to comply with the California MWMA, Health and Safety Code section 117600 et seq., by improperly storing, transporting, and disposing of medical waste, including but not limited to pharmaceutical waste as defined in Health and Safety Code section 117690, to the extent required by Health and Safety Code sections 117915 and 117918.

### 4.4 Compliance Assurance Program

Pursuant to the provisions of Health and Safety Code section 25181 and Business and Professions Code section 17203, Defendant shall implement the following compliance assurance programs to supplement the injunctive provisions of this Final Judgment:

- 4.4.a Training. Defendant shall ensure all employees at the Facilities are thoroughly familiar with proper waste handling and emergency procedures, relevant to their responsibilities during normal facility operations and emergencies.
- 4.4.a.1 For any training conducted in accordance with 4.4.a., Defendant shall maintain documentation sufficient to identify the topic(s) on which employees received training and include the dates of training. Such records may be maintained electronically. Defendant shall maintain any documentation for a period of five (5) years from the date the training was conducted.
- 4.4.a.2 Defendant shall require employees to participate in a training program to familiarize them with hazardous waste handling and emergency procedures, relevant to the employee's responsibilities during normal operations and emergencies, within six (6) months of hire. Employees shall be supervised by a manager trained in such procedures, until the employees have completed such training program. Training shall occur on an annual basis.
- 4.4.a.3 Defendant shall promptly make available upon request by any CUPA Inspector, peace officer, agent of the Department of Justice, California Environmental Protection Agency, the DTSC, District Attorney or City Attorney all training records maintained for each Facility pursuant to paragraph 4.4.a.1.-4.
- 4.4.a.4 To the extent any one of Defendant's Facilities generates more than 1,000 kg/month of hazardous waste, or 1 kg/month of acute hazardous waste, or 100 kg/month of acute spill residue or soil, then Defendant shall additionally comply with the personnel training

requirements contained in California Code of Regulations, title 22, section 66265.16, to the extent applicable, for that particular Facility's employees.

- 4.4.b California Facility Employee: For a period of five (5) years following the Effective Date, Defendant shall maintain at each Facility, an employee trained on Defendant's hazardous waste handling, storage and transportation policies, for the purpose of reviewing all containers of items returned to the Facility from any of Defendant's clients.
- 4.4.c Customer Compliance Reminder: Upon discovery by Defendant of any item delivered to a Facility from one of Defendant's clients that is not in compliance with Defendant's hazardous waste handling, storage and transportation policies, Defendant shall document the date, client and non-compliant item. Additionally, Defendant shall send a Customer Compliance Reminder letter to the client identifying the same and reminding the client of the policy.
- 4.4.d Compliance Certification: Within sixty (60) days after the end of each year of the five (5) year period set forth in Paragraph 4.4.b, Defendant shall provide the persons listed in paragraph 13 with a statement regarding Defendant's compliance with Paragraphs 4.4.a, 4.4.b, and 4.4.c, of this Final Judgment. The statement shall include:
- 4.4.d.1 A summary description of notices to clients and notices of violations/inspection reports issued by the CUPAs;
  - 4.4.d.2 Return to compliance or corrective measures-provided to the CUPAs; and
- 4.4.d.3 Reports provided by Return Management Services, Inc. ("RMS") identifying hazardous waste RMS received from the Facilities and customer compliance reminder letters, if any, during the prior twelve months. (If RMS fails to provide reports to Defendant, Defendant will not be in violation of this Final Judgment based upon RMS's non-performance).
- 4.4.d.4 The Compliance Certification shall be signed by a responsible corporate officer or a responsible California facility employee and include the following certification:

I certify under penalty of law that I have personally examined and am familiar with the information submitted in this document and all documents submitted herewith; and that, to the best of my knowledge and belief, the submitted information is true, accurate, and complete.

 $H\!\!I$ 

# 5. CIVIL PENALTIES, SUPPLEMENTAL ENVIRONMENTAL PROJECTS, AND COSTS

#### 5.1 Civil Penalties

Within sixty (60) calendar days after the Effective Date, Defendant shall pay a total of TWO HUNDRED AND THIRTY THOUSAND DOLLARS (\$230,000.00) as civil penalties pursuant to Health and Safety Code sections 25189, 25270.12, 25299, 118345 and Business and Professions Code section 17206, and Government Code section 26506, to be distributed to the prosecuting agencies/regulatory agencies identified in, and in accordance with, the terms of **Exhibits** A-1 and A-2, attached and made part of this Final Judgment on Consent by this reference.

# 5.2 Supplemental Environmental Projects

Within sixty (60) calendar days after the Effective Date, Defendant shall pay a total of SEVENTY THOUSAND DOLLARS (\$70,000.00) for supplemental environmental projects identified in, and in accordance with the terms of, Exhibit B.

# 5.3 Reimbursement of Costs of Investigation and Enforcement

Within sixty (60) calendar days after the Effective Date, Defendant shall pay a total of EIGHTY-NINE THOUSAND DOLLARS (\$89,000.00) for reimbursement of attorney's fees, costs of investigation, and other costs of enforcement, to the entities identified in, and in accordance with the terms of, Exhibits C-1 and C-2.

# 5.4 Payments and Expenditures

The payment of all civil penalties, reimbursement of cost payments, and other expenditures set forth in Paragraphs 5.1, 5.2, and 5.3, above, shall be made by checks and delivered to the District Attorney's Office for the County of San Joaquin, Attention: Celeste Kaisch, for distribution. Pursuant to the terms of this Final Judgment and Government Code section 26506 and without objection by Defendant, the above-referenced payments shall be distributed and used as herein requested by Plaintiff and as set forth in Exhibits A-1, A-2, B, C-1 and C-2.

### 5.5 Stipulated Penalties for Violations of this Judgment

The Stipulated Penalty for violations of this judgment shall become due and payable in the event Defendant violates Paragraph 4.3.a (the "Stipulated Penalty Provision"). This Stipulated Penalty shall be paid as set forth in Business and Profession Code section 17206(c). All Penalty amounts shall be provided as set forth in Paragraph 5.4. If Defendant violates Paragraph 4.3.a during the five-year period following the Effective Date, Defendant shall, subject to the provisions of section 5.5.e, pay the Stipulated Penalty amount to the People as follows:

- 5.5.a Upon the first instance of any violation of the Stipulated Penalty Provision at a Facility during the five-year period following the Effective Date, Defendant shall pay between TWENTY-FIVE THOUSAND DOLLARS (\$25,000.00) and SEVENTY-FIVE THOUSAND DOLLARS (\$75,000.00) depending on the facts and circumstances of each such violation;
- 5.5.b Upon the second instance of any violation(s) of the Stipulated Penalty Provision at a Facility during the five-year period following the Effective Date, if Defendant has previously paid a Stipulated Penalty for a violation of the Stipulated Penalty Provision, Defendant shall pay between TWENTY-FIVE THOUSAND DOLLARS (\$25,000.00) and SEVENTY-FIVE THOUSAND DOLLARS (\$75,000.00) depending on the facts and circumstances of each such violation;
- 5.5.c Upon a third instance of a violation of the Stipulated Penalty Provision at a Facility during the five-year period following the Effective Date, if Defendant has paid a Stipulated Penalty for at least two prior violations of the Stipulated Penalty Provision at a Facility Defendant shall pay a penalty up to the maximum amounts set forth in section 5.5.d hereof.
- 5.5.d Declining Stipulated Penalties. Subject to the provisions of Paragraphs 5.5.a, 5.5.b and 5.5.c, the maximum-Stipulated Penalties provided for herein shall decline during the five-year period following the Effective Date, as follows:
- 5.5.d.1 Year One. The maximum cumulative amount of Stipulated Penalties for both Facilities shall be \$400,000 during the first year following the Effective Date. If Defendant pays \$400,000 in Stipulated Penalties for matters occurring during the first year following the Effective Date, Defendant shall not be liable for any further Stipulated Penalties.

5.5.d.2 Year Two. The maximum cumulative amount of Stipulated Penalties shall be \$320,000 for the first and second years following the Effective Date. For example, if Defendant pays Stipulated Penalties totaling \$100,000 during the first year following the Effective Date, the maximum amount of Stipulated Penalties that could be imposed in the second year following the Effective Date would be \$320,000 minus \$100,000 equals \$220,000.

5.5.d.3 Year Three. The maximum cumulative amount of Stipulated Penalties shall be \$240,000 for the first, second and third years following the Effective Date. For example, if Defendant pays Stipulated Penalties totaling \$100,000 during the first year following the Effective Date, and no such penalties are paid during the second year following the Effective Date, the maximum amount of Stipulated Penalties that could be imposed in year three would be \$240,000 minus \$100,000 equals \$140,000.

5.5.d.4 Year Four. The maximum cumulative amount of Stipulated Penalties shall be \$160,000 for the first, second, third and fourth years following the Effective Date. For example, if Defendant pays Stipulated Penalties totaling \$100,000 during the first year following the Effective Date, and no such penalties are paid during the second or third years following the Effective Date, the maximum Stipulated Penalties that could be imposed in year four would be \$160,000 minus \$100,000 equals \$60,000

5.5.d.5 Year Five. The maximum cumulative amount of Stipulated Penalties shall be \$80,000 for the first, second, third, fourth and fifth years following the Effective Date. For example, if Defendant pays Stipulated Penalties totaling \$100,000 during the first year following the Effective Date, and no such penalties are paid during the second, third or fourth years following the Effective Date, the maximum Stipulated Penalties in year five would be \$80,000 minus \$100,000 equals zero, as the Stipulated Penalties cannot be less than zero.

5.5.d.6 No Stipulated Penalties shall be imposed pursuant to this section following the fifth year after the Effective Date.

5.5.e Notification of Demand for Stipulated Penalties In the event the People determine Defendant has violated Paragraph 4.3.a and that the Stipulated Penalty or some portion thereof is due and payable, the People will provide notice of such finding to Defendant in writing.

The notice shall set forth with specificity the facts and law upon which such determination is based-and the amount of Stipulated Penalty the People seek to impose. Defendant shall, within 30 days, advise the People in writing whether it contests such notice. In the event Defendant elects to contest the People's notice, it shall provide the facts and law upon which it bases such contest to the People in writing. The Parties agree to meet and confer to attempt to resolve their dispute before taking any action to enforce the terms hereof. If no informal resolution of an alleged violation results, the People may by motion or application before the Superior Court of San Joaquin, seek Stipulated Penalties as provided in paragraph 5.5.a hereof. If Defendant does not contest the People's Notice, the civil penalties will be due 45 (forty-five) days after Defendant's advisement to the People as contemplated above.

#### 6. MATTERS COVERED BY THIS FINAL JUDGMENT

- 6.1 This Final Judgment is a final and binding resolution and settlement of all claims, violations and causes of action that were asserted or could have been asserted within the scope of the allegations specifically set forth in the Complaint against Defendant and its officers, directors and employees through October 15, 2020 regarding the Facilities ("Covered Matters").
- Any claim, violation, or cause of action that is not a Covered Matter is a "Reserved Claim." Reserved Claims include, without limitation, any violation that occurs after the filing of this Final Judgment; any claim, violation, or cause of action against Defendant's independent contractors or subcontractors; and separate and independent violations arising out of matters or allegations that are not set forth in the Complaint, whether known or unknown. Reserved Claims also include any claims or causes of action against Defendant for performance of cleanup, corrective action, or response action for any actual past or future releases, spills, or disposals of hazardous waste or hazardous substances that were caused or contributed to by Defendant at or from any of Defendant's Facilities.
- 6.3 In any subsequent action that may be brought by the People based on any Reserved Claim, Defendant cannot assert that failing to pursue any Reserved Claim as part of this action constitutes claim-splitting. This Paragraph does not affect any statute of limitations, if any, which may be applicable to any Reserved Claim, and does not prohibit Defendant from asserting any

statute of limitations or other legal or equitable defenses that may be applicable to any Reserved Claim.

Defendant covenants not to pursue any civil or administrative claims against the People or against any agency of the State of California, or any county or city in the State of California, or any CUPA, Participating Agency or local agency (collectively, "Agencies"), or against any of their officers, employees, representatives, agents, or attorneys, arising out of or related to any Covered Matter and arising before entry of this Final Judgment; provided, however, that if any Agencies initiate claims against Defendant, Defendant retains any and all rights and defenses against such Agencies.

#### 7. EFFECT OF FINAL JUDGMENT

Except as expressly provided in this Final Judgment, nothing in this Final Judgment is intended, nor shall it be construed, to preclude the People or any state, county, city or local agency, department, board, or CUPA from exercising its authority under any law, statute, or regulation.

#### 8. NO WAIVER OF RIGHT TO ENFORCE

The failure of the People to enforce any provision of this Final Judgment shall neither be deemed a waiver of such provision nor in any way affect the validity of this Final Judgment. The failure of the People to enforce any such provision shall not preclude them from later enforcing the same or any other provision of this Final Judgment. Except as expressly provided in this Final Judgment, Defendant retains all defenses to any such later enforcement action.

#### 9. INTERPRETATION

This Final Judgment was drafted equally by all Parties hereto. Accordingly, any and all rules of construction holding that ambiguity is construed against the drafting party shall not apply to the interpretation of this Final Judgment.

#### 10. INTEGRATION

The stipulations of this Final Judgment constitute the entire agreement between the Parties and may not be amended or supplemented except as provided for herein. No oral advice, guidance, suggestions, or comments by employees or officials of any Party regarding matters

covered in this Final Judgment shall be construed to relieve any Party of its obligations under this Final Judgment. No oral representations have been made or relied upon other than as expressly set forth herein.

#### 11. FUTURE REGULATORY CHANGES

Nothing in this Final Judgment shall excuse Defendant from meeting any more-stringent requirement that may be imposed by applicable existing law or by any change in the applicable law. To the extent any future statutory or regulatory change makes Defendant's obligations less stringent than those provided for in this Final Judgment, Defendant's compliance with the changed law shall be deemed compliance with this Final Judgment; however, any change in law or regulation shall not reduce or diminish Defendant's obligations to comply with Paragraph 4.4.

#### 12. TERMINATION OF COMPLIANCE ASSURANCE PROGRAM

Defendant's obligations to engage in a compliance assurance program pursuant to Paragraph 4.4 of this Final Judgment shall terminate five (5) years after the Effective Date of this Final Judgment pursuant to paragraph 21, provided that Defendant first demonstrates that it has paid all amounts owed per Exhibits A-1, A-2, B, C-1 and C-2.

#### 13. NOTICES

Unless otherwise specified in this Final Judgment, all notices under this Final Judgment shall be made in writing, by both email and mail, and addressed to:

For the People:

Celeste Kaisch
Deputy District Attorney
San Joaquin Co. District Attorney's Office
222 E. Weber Ave., Room 202
Stockton, CA 95202
Celeste Kaisch@sjcda.org

Lauren R. Martineau
Deputy District Attorney
Riverside Co. District Attorney's Office
3960 Orange Street
Riverside, CA 92501

28

For the Defendant, UNIFIED GROCERS, INC.

Legal Department United Natural Foods, Inc. 11840 Valley View Road, Eden Prairie, MN 55344

With a copy to

Michael J. Steel Morrison & Foerster LLP 425 Market Street 35<sup>th</sup> Floor San Francisco, CA 94105

Any Party may, by written notice to the other Parties, change its designated notice recipient or notice address.

#### 14. CONTINUING JURISDICTION

The Court shall retain continuing jurisdiction to enforce the injunctive terms of this Final Judgment and to address any other matters arising out of or regarding this Final Judgment.

# 15. ABILITY TO INSPECT AND COPY RECORDS AND DOCUMENTS

Defendant shall permit any duly authorized representative of the People to inspect and copy records and documents relevant to determine compliance with the terms of this Final Judgment. This paragraph shall not limit the People's authority access or obtain information, records, and documents pursuant to any other statute or regulation.

#### 16. PAYMENT OF LITIGATION EXPENSES AND FEES

Defendant shall make no request of the People to pay their attorney fees, expert witness fees and costs, or any other costs of litigation or investigation incurred to date.

#### 17. COUNTERPART SIGNATURES

The stipulation for entry of this Final Judgment may be executed by the Parties in counterparts. For purposes of this Final Judgment, facsimile signatures shall be deemed originals, and the parties agree to exchange original signatures as promptly as possible.

#### 18. INCORPORATION OF EXHIBITS

Exhibits A-1, A-2, B, C-1 and C-2 are incorporated herein by reference.

#### 19. MODIFICATION

The injunctive provisions of this Final Judgment may be modified only on noticed motion by one of the parties with approval of the Court, or upon written consent by all of the Parties and the approval of the Court.

#### 20. TERMINATION OF PERMANENT INJUNCTION

At any time after this Final Judgment has been in effect for five (5) years, and Defendant has paid and expended all amounts required under the Final Judgment, Defendant may move to terminate the injunctive provisions in Paragraphs 4.2 and 4.3 pursuant to Code of Civil Procedure section 533 and Civil Code section 3424. After this Final Judgment has been in effect for seven (7) years, and Defendant has paid and expended all amounts required under the Final Judgment, the injunctive provisions in Paragraphs 4.2 and 4.3 will terminate automatically.

#### 21. EFFECTIVE DATE OF FINAL JUDGMENT

This Final Judgment shall become effective upon entry. The Parties need not file a Notice of Entry of Judgment.

IT IS SO STIPULATED.

7 FOR THE PEOPLE:

TORI VERBER SALAZAR, District Attorney County of San Joaquin, State of California

DATED: 12/1/2020 By: Will Kalsch

Deputy District Attorney

i		IACUIE I ACEV District Attaces
2		JACKIE LACEY, District Attorney County of Los Angeles, State of California
3		
4	DATED: 12/3/2020	By: DANIEL J. WRIGHT
5		Deputy District Attorney
6		
7		TODD SPITZER, District Attorney County of Orange, State of California
8		
9	DATED:	By: WILLIAM G. FALLON
10		WILLIAM G. FALLON Deputy District Attorney
11		
12		MICHAEL A. HESTRIN, District Attorney County of Riverside, State of California
13		County of Riverside, State of Camonia
14	DATED:	Ву:
15		By: LAUREN R. MARTINEAU Deputy District Attorney
16		
17		JEFF W. REISIG, District Attorney
18		County of Yolo, State of California
19	DATED.	Dec
20 21	DATED;	DAVID J. IREY
22		Assistant Chief Deputy District Attorney
23	FOR DEFENDANT UNIFIED GROCERS,	
24	INC.:	
25		
26	DATED:	By:KIM J. MYRDAHL
27		Title: Deputy General Counsel Senior Vice President and Chief
28		Compliance Officer UNIFIED GROCERS, INC.

1 2		JACKIE LACEY, District Attorney County of Los Angeles, State of California
3	DATED:	By: DANIEL J. WRIGHT
4		DANIEL J. WRIGHT Deputy District Attorney
5		
7		TODD SPITZER, District Attorney County of Orange, State of California
8		
9	DATED: 12/8/2020	By: WILLIAM G. HALLON
10		Deputy District Attorney
11		
12		MICHAEL A. HESTRIN, District Attorney County of Riverside, State of California
13		
14	DATED;	By:
15 16		Deputy District Attorney
17		
18		JEFF W. REISIG, District Attorney County of Yolo, State of California
19		
20	DATED: 12/3/2020	By:
21		By: DAVID / TREY Assistant Chief Deputy District Attorney
22		. ,
23	FOR DEFENDANT UNIFIED GROCERS,	
24	INC.:	
25		~
26	DATED:	By:  KIM J. MYRDAHL  Title: Deputy General Counsel
27		Senior Vice President and Chief
28		Compliance Officer UNIFIED GROCERS, INC.

1		JACKIE LACEY, District Attorney County of Los Angeles, State of California
2		
3	DATED:	By:
4		By:
5		
6	(	TODD SPITZER, District Attorney
7		County of Orange, State of California
8	DATED:	
9	DATED:	By: WILLIAM G. FALLON
11		Deputy District Attorney
12		MICHAEL A, HESTRIN, District Attorney
13		County of Riverside, State of California
14	12 10 10	
	DATED: 12/3/2020	By:
15	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	TAUREN R. MARTINEAU
15 16	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	IAUREN R. MARTINEAU Deputy District Attorney
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	TAUREN R. MARTINEAU Deputy District Attorney
16		TAUREN R. MARTINEAU
16 17		IAUREN R. MARTINEAU Deputy District Attorney  JEFF W. REISIG, District Attorney
16 17 18	DATED:	LAUREN R. MARTINEAU Deputy District Attorney  JEFF W. REISIG, District Attorney County of Yolo, State of California
16 17 18 19	DATED:	TAUREN R. MARTINEAU Deputy District Attorney  JEFF W. REISIG, District Attorney County of Yolo, State of California
16 17 18 19 20	DATED:	JEFF W. REISIG, District Attorney County of Yolo, State of California  By: DAVID J. IREY
16 17 18 19 20 21	FOR DEFENDANT UNIFIED GROCERS,	JEFF W. REISIG, District Attorney County of Yolo, State of California  By: DAVID J. IREY
16 17 18 19 20 21 22		JEFF W. REISIG, District Attorney County of Yolo, State of California  By: DAVID J. IREY
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	FOR DEFENDANT UNIFIED GROCERS,	JEFF W. REISIG, District Attorney County of Yolo, State of California  By:  DAVID J. IREY Assistant Chief Deputy District Attorney
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	FOR DEFENDANT UNIFIED GROCERS,	JEFF W. REISIG, District Attorney County of Yolo, State of California  By:  DAVID J. IREY Assistant Chief Deputy District Attorney  By:  KIM J. MYRDAHL
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	FOR DEFENDANT UNIFIED GROCERS, INC.:	JEFF W. REISIG, District Attorney County of Yolo, State of California  By:  DAVID J. IREY Assistant Chief Deputy District Attorney

1 2		JACKIE C. LACEY, District Attorney County of Los Angeles, State of California
3		
4	DATED:	By: DANIEL J. WRIGHT
		DANIEL J. WRIGHT Deputy District Attorney
5		•
6		TODD SPITZER Digital Attamen
7		TODD SPITZER, District Attorney County of Orange, State of California
8		
9	DATED:	Ву:
10		By:
11		Deputy District Attivities
12		
il		MICHAEL A. HESTRIN, District Attorney County of Riverside, State of California
13		
14	DATED:	Dvn
15		By: LAUREN R. MARTINEAU
16		Deputy District Attorney
ا 17		
18		JEFF W. REISIG, District Attorney County of Yolo, State of California
9		County of Tolo, Blate of Camornia
20	DATED:	_
	DATED.	By: DAVID J. IREY
21		Assistant Chief Deputy District Attorney
2		
23	FOR DEFENDANT UNIFIED GROCERS, INC.:	
4	a. 10,,	12011 n
5	0.14	K 11 1/ 1 //
6	DATED: 43/20	By: KIMI MYRDAHI
7		Title: Deputy General Counsel Senior Vice President and Chief
8		Compliance Officer
		UNIFIED GROCERS, INC.

		•
1		
2		•
3	REVIEWED AND APPROVED AS TO FORM AND CONTENT:	
4		MORRISON & FOERSTER LLP
5	DATED: December 3, 2020	By: Much ?- This
6		MICHAEL JACOB STEEL
7		Attorneys for Defendant, UNIFIED GROCERS, INC.
8		
9	IT IS SO ORDERED.	
10		
11		
12	Dec 10, 2020 04:36 PM	δ.
13	DATEU;	By: JUDGE OF THE SUPERIOR COURT
14		
		RAGER ROSS
15		ROGER ROSS
16		ROGER ROSS
16 17		ROGER ROSS
16 17 18		ROGER ROSS
16 17 18 19		ROGER ROSS
16 17 18 19		ROGER ROSS
16 17 18 19 20 21		ROGER ROSS
16 17 18 19 20 21		ROGER ROSS
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23		ROGER ROSS
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23		ROGER ROSS
16 17 18 19 20 21		ROGER ROSS
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24		ROGER ROSS
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25		ROGER ROSS

# **EXHIBIT A-1**

#### **EXHIBIT A-1 -- CIVIL PENALTIES**

Agency		Business and Professions §17200 Penalties		Civil Penalties - Health and Safety §25500 Penalties		Total of Civil Penalties Pald to Agency	
Alameda Co. District Attorney's Office	\$	4,000.00	\$	. <del></del>	\$	4,000.00	
Los Angeles City Altomey's Office	\$	4,000.00	\$	<b>=</b> :	5	4,000.00	
Los Angeles Co. District Attorney's Office	\$	10,000.00	\$	÷	\$	10,000.00	
Orange Co. District Attorney's Office	\$	37,000.00	\$	. 4	\$	37,000.00	
Riverside Co. District Attorney's Office1 (see below)	\$	37,000.00	\$	_	\$	37,000.00	
Sacramento Co. District Attorney's Office <sup>2</sup> (see below)	\$	4,000.00	\$	<b>=</b>	<b>\$</b> '	4,000.00	
San Bernardino Co. District Attorney's Office	\$	4,000.00	\$.	*	\$	4,000.00	
San Diego Co. District Attorney's Office	\$	4,000.00	\$	<b>-</b>	\$	4,000.00	
San Joaquin Co. District Attomey's Office <sup>3</sup> (see below)	\$		\$	37,000.00	\$	37,000,00	
Yolo Co. District Attorney's Office	\$	37,000.00	\$	-	\$	37,000.00	
Totals - Prosecutor Civil Penalties	\$	141,000.00	\$	37,000.00	\$	178,000.00	

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> RIVERSIDE Penalties: Business and Professions Code §17200: "Defendant" shall pay \$37,000.00 to the Riverside County District Attorney's Office as civil penalties for violations of Business and Professions Code section 17200. Pursuant to Business and Professions Code section 17206(b), said sum will be paid in the form of a check made payable to the District Attorney, County of Riverside; sums to be distributed as follows: 100 percent will be deposited into the consumer protection prosecution account in the General Fund of Riverside County.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> SACRAMENTO: The money paid to the Sacramento District Attorney as penalties pursuant to Business and Professions Code section 17206, shall be for the sole and exclusive use of the District Attorney to augment the enforcement of consumer and environmental protection laws and in no manner shall supplant or cause any reduction of any portion of the District Attorney's budget.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> SAN JOAQUIN: Penalties allocated to Health and Safety Code § 25500 shall be paid to the "San Joaquin Co. District Attorney's Office".

# **EXHIBIT A-2**

# **EXHIBIT A-2 -- CIVIL PENALTIES**

Agency	Civil Penalties - Health and Safety §25500 Penalties		Total of Civil Penalties Paid to Regulatory Agencies		
Los Angeles Co Los Angeles City Fire	\$ 10,000.	10	\$	10,000.00	
Sacramento Co Environmental Mgmt, Dept.	\$ 5,000.	ρÓ	\$	5,000.00	
San Joaquin Co Environmental Health Department	\$ 37,000.0	0	\$	37,000.00	
Total - Agency Civil Penalties	\$ 52,000.0	10	\$	52,000.00	

# **EXHIBIT B**

# Exhibit B - Supplemental Environmental Projects

### 1. Craig Thompson Environmental Protection Prosecution Fund.

UNIFIED GROCERS, INC. shall provide the amount of Ten Thousand Dollars (\$10,000.00) payable to the Craig Thompson Environmental Protection Prosecution Fund ("CTEPP Fund") to be used for purposes consistent with the mission of the CTEPP Fund.

### 2. California Certified Unified Program Agency Forum Projects.

UNIFIED GROCERS, INC. shall provide the amount of Twenty Thousand Dollars (\$20,000.00) payable to the CUPA Forum Environmental Protection Trust Fund ("CUPA Trust Fund"), which is administered and to be used by the California Certified Unified Program Agency Forum, for purposes consistent with the mission of the CUPA Trust Fund.

# 3. California Advanced Environmental Criminal Training Program (Cal-AECTP) with CHMIA.

UNIFIED GROCERS, INC. shall provide the amount of Forty Thousand Dollars (\$40,000.00) payable to the California Hazardous Materials Investigators Association ("CHMIA") to be used by CHMIA to fund full scholarships for attendance and participation in their Advanced Environmental Criminal Training Program. Each of these scholarships shall cover conference registration, travel, food, lodging, and incidentals.

# **EXHIBIT C-1**

#### **EXHIBIT C-1 -- COSTS**

Адепсу	Total Costs to Agency		
Alameda Co. District Attorney's Office	\$	1,000.00	
Los Angeles City Attorney's Office	- <b>\$</b> -	1,000.00	
Los Angeles Co. District Attorney's Office	\$	3,000.00	
Orange Co. District Attorney's Office	\$	12,500.00	
Riverside Co. District Attorney's Office <sup>1</sup> (see below)	.\$	12,500.00	
Sacramento Co. District Attorney's Office <sup>2</sup> (see below)	\$	1,000.00	
San Bernardino Co. District Attorney's Office	\$	1,000.00	
San Diego Co, District Attorney's Office	\$	1,000.00	
San Joaquin Co. District Attorney's Office	\$	12,500.00	
Yolo Co. District Attorney's Office <sup>3</sup>	\$	24,500.00	
Total - Prosecutor Costs	\$	70,000.00	

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> RIVERSIDE Costs: "Defendant" shall pay \$ 12,500.00 as costs to the Riverside County District Attorney's Office. Said sum will be paid in the form of a check made payable to the District Attorney, County of Riverside.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> SACRAMENTO: The money paid to the Sacramento District Attorney as costs, pursuant to this stipulation, shall be for the sole and exclusive use of the District Attorney as reimbursement for costs expended in the enforcement of the consumer protection and environmental laws and in no manner shall suppliant or cause any reduction of any portion of the District Attorney's budget.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> YOLO: This money shall be paid in two separate checks: (1) one check addressed to the Yolo County District Attorney's Office in the amount of \$12,500.00, and (2) one check addressed to the Craig Thompson Environmental Protection Prosecution Fund in the amount of \$12,000.00.

# EXHIBIT C-2

# **EXHIBIT C-2 -- COSTS**

Agency	Total Costs to Agency
Sacramento Co Environmental Mgmt. Dept,	\$ 3,000,00
San Joaquin Co Environmental Health Department	\$ 16,000.00
Total - Agency Costs	\$ 19,000.00