1	TORI VERBER SALAZAR	Filed APR 0 5 2019
2	District Attorney of San Joaquin County CELESTE KAISCH, SBN 234174	ROSA JUNQUEIRO, CLERK
3	Deputy District Attorney Consumer and Environmental Crimes Unit	TAYLOR HIEDEMAN
4	222 E. Weber Ave., Room 202	DEPUTY DEPUTY
5	Stockton, CA 95202 Telephone: (209) 468-2400	
6	Facsimile: (209) 468-0314 E-mail: celeste.kaisch@sjcda.org	
7	Additional Counsel listed as signatories	
8	Attorneys for Plaintiff,	
9	The People of the State of California	
10	SUPERIOR COURT OF TI	HE STATE OF CALIFORNIA
11	COUNTY OF	SAN JOAQUIN
12		
13	THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF	CASE NO.: STK-CV-UBT-2019-3968
14	CALIFORNIA,	STIPULATION FOR ENTRY OF FINAL
15	Plaintiff,	JUDGMENT AND PERMANENT
16	v.	INJUNCTION AND FINAL JUDGMENT AND PERMANENT INJUNCTION
17	KOHL'S DEPARTMENT STORES, INC., a	
18	Delaware Corporation,	Filing Fees Exempt (Govt. Code § 6103)
19	Defendant.	Tung rees mempi (Gori. Cour 3 0202)
20		
21		OF CALIFORNIA ("People"), generally appearing
22	through its attorneys: Tori Verber Salazar, District Attorney of San Joaquin County; Nancy E.	
23	O'Malley, District Attorney of Alameda County;	Michael L. Ramsey, District Attorney of Butte
24	County; Diana Becton, District Attorney of Contra	a Costa County; Lisa A. Smittcamp, District
25	Attorney of Fresno County; Maggie Fleming, Dist	strict Attorney of Humboldt County; Gilbert G.
26	Otero, District Attorney of Imperial County; Cynt	thia Zimmer, District Attorney of Kern County;
27	Keith L. Fagundes, District Attorney of Kings Co.	unty; Jackie Lacey, District Attorney of Los
28	Angeles County; Michael N. Feuer, City Attorney	y of Los Angeles; Lori Frugoli, District Attorney of
	CONTRACTOR ENTRACOR EINIAL HI	1
- 11	STIPULATION FOR ENTRY OF FINAL JUI	DGMENT AND PERMANENT INJUNCTION

HEREBY STIPULATE AND AGREE AS FOLLOWS:

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

- This Court may enter this Stipulation for Entry of Final Judgment and Permanent
 Injunction ("Final Judgment") before the taking of any proof and without trial or adjudication of any
 fact or law;
- This Court has subject matter jurisdiction over the matters alleged in this action and personal jurisdiction over the parties to this Final Judgment;
- This Final Judgment is a fair and reasonable resolution of the matters alleged in the People's Complaint;
- 4. Entry of this Final Judgment is not an admission or denial by Defendant regarding any issue of law or fact in the above-captioned matter or any violation of any law;
 - 5. This Final Judgment shall be binding upon the People and upon Defendant; and
 - 6. The People and Defendant (collectively, "the Parties") waive any right to set aside the

Final Judgment through any collateral attack, and further waive their right to appeal from the Final Judgment.

NOW THEREFORE, the People and Defendant, having requested that this Court enter this Final Judgment, and the Court having considered the Final Judgment reached between the Parties,

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUDGED, AND DECREED:

FINAL JUDGMENT AND PERMANENT INJUNCTION

1. JURISDICTION

The Superior Court of California, County of San Joaquin, has subject matter jurisdiction over the matters alleged in this action and personal jurisdiction over the Parties to this Final Judgment.

2. SETTLEMENT OF DISPUTED CLAIMS

The Court finds that this Final Judgment is not an admission or a denial by Defendant regarding any issue of law or fact in the above-captioned matter or any violation of any law. The Parties have agreed that this Final Judgment and Permanent Injunction is a fair and reasonable resolution of the matters alleged in the Complaint, and that the Judgment is in the best interest of the public. All Parties have stipulated and consented to the entry of this Final Judgment prior to the taking of any proof, and without trial or adjudication of any fact or law herein; and pursuant to the agreement of the Parties, the right to appeal is ordered waived.

3. **DEFINITIONS**

Except where otherwise expressly defined in this Final Judgment, all terms shall be interpreted consistent with the Hazardous Waste Control Law, Health and Safety Code sections 25100-25259; Hazardous Materials Release Response Plans and Inventory Law, Health and Safety Code sections 25500-25547.8; the Medical Waste Management Act, Health and Safety Code sections 117600-118360; and the regulations promulgated under these sections.

"Unified Program Agency" or "UPA" is an agency certified by the California Environmental Protection Agency pursuant to the requirements of Chapter 6.11 of the Health and Safety Code and California Code of Regulations, Title 27, to implement certain State environmental programs within the local agency's jurisdiction.

.

"Included Facilities" means Defendant's current and former California retail stores and distribution centers. The specific list of the locations of these facilities is attached as **Exhibit A.**

"California Facilities" means Defendant's retail stores and distribution centers currently owned or acquired subsequent to the effective date of this Final Judgment by Defendant within the State of California.

"Participating Agency" means an agency that has been designated by the UPA to administer one or more state environmental programs on behalf of the UPA.

4. INJUNCTIVE RELIEF

4.1 Applicability

The provisions of this injunction are applicable to Defendant, Kohl's Department Stores, Inc., a wholly-owned subsidiary of Kohl's Corporation, and any successor corporations or assignees, its parent, subsidiaries and affiliates, as well all persons, partnerships, corporations, and other entities that have direct operational control over Defendant's hazardous waste program and that are subject to the jurisdiction of the Court in the State of California, acting under, by, through, on behalf of, or at the direction of Defendant, with actual or constructive knowledge of this Injunction.

4.2 General Injunctive Provision:

Pursuant to the provisions of Health and Safety Code sections 25181, 25515.6, 25515.8, and 118325 and Business and Professions Code section 17203, Defendant is permanently enjoined from violating Chapters 6.5 and 6.95 of Division 20 of the California Health and Safety Code and the regulations promulgated under these statutes. Notwithstanding any other provision in this Final Judgment, nothing in this Final Judgment shall relieve Defendant from prospectively complying with any and all applicable laws and regulations, nor shall any term of the Final Judgment extend to Defendant's facilities outside the State of California.

4.3 Specific Injunctive Provisions:

Pursuant to the provisions of Health and Safety Code section 25181 and Business and Professions Code section 17203, Defendant is enjoined, restrained and prohibited from doing any of the following:

4.3.a. Disposing, or causing the disposal, of Defendant's hazardous waste at a

point not authorized by law, as required by Health and Safety Code sections 25189 and 25189.2, including, without limitation, to any trash, dumpster, compactor, any unauthorized location, or at a landfill or transfer station not authorized to receive hazardous waste;

- 4.3.b. Transporting, or causing to be transported, in California any hazardous waste to an unauthorized location in California, as required by Health & Safety Code section 25163 and 25189.5;
- 4.3.c. Failing to label hazardous waste accumulation at California Facilities, as required by Title 22 of the California Code of Regulations, section 66262.34, subdivision (f);
- 4.3.d. Failing to maintain copies of hazardous waste manifests for three (3) years, as required by Title 22 of the California Code of Regulations, section 66262.40, subdivision (a);

4.4 Compliance Assurance Program

Defendant shall establish and implement a Compliance Assurance Program at all California Facilities, for a period of no less than five (5) years from the effective date of this Final Judgment. Defendant shall continue to enhance and modify the Compliance Assurance Program to address hazardous, universal, and medical waste management policies and procedures, including, but not limited to, annually evaluating the recommendations of the Compliance Consultant, at all California Facilities.

Pursuant to the provisions of Health and Safety Code sections 25181, 25515.6, 25515.8, and 118325 and Business and Professions Code section 17203, Defendant shall implement a Compliance Assurance Program that shall include at a minimum, the following:

4.4.a. Compliance Consultant.

Defendant shall identify and retain a qualified, independent third-party consultant to assist Defendant in further evaluating and updating its Compliance Assurance Program (the "Compliance Consultant"). Defendant has currently retained the services of APTIM as its Compliance Consultant. The Compliance Consultant helps Defendant in evaluating the implementation, training and potential enhancement of Defendant's waste management policies and procedures for Defendant's consideration.

Defendant shall notify each of the People's representatives listed in Paragraph 7 below.

within thirty (30) days of any change in such Compliance Consultant.

4.4.b. Employee Training

Defendant shall ensure that all employees at Defendant's California Facilities have been trained on proper hazardous waste handling and emergency response procedures, relevant to their responsibilities during normal facility operation and emergencies.

Defendant shall ensure that its written policies and procedures and employee training programs are designed to prohibit and prevent, at a minimum, the following violations of the law:

- 4.4.b.1. Disposing, or causing the disposal, of hazardous waste at a point not authorized by law, in violation of Health and Safety Code sections 25189 and 25189.2;
- 4.4.b.2. Transporting, transferring custody of, or causing to be transported in California any hazardous waste unless the transporter is registered to transport hazardous waste, in violation of Health and Safety Code section 25163;
- 4.4.b.3. Failing to determine if a waste generated at California Facilities is a hazardous waste, in violation of California Code of Regulations, title 22, sections 66262.11 and 66260.200(c);
- 4.4.b.4. Failing to properly manage, identify the date of accumulation, or label containers of hazardous waste at California Facilities, in violation of California Code of Regulations, title 22, section 66262.34;
- 4.4.b.5. Unlawfully storing, handling, and accumulating hazardous waste, in violation of Health and Safety Code section 25123.3 and California Code of Regulations, title 22, sections 66262.34 and 66265.173;
- 4.4.b.6. Failing to conduct inspections of hazardous waste storage areas at each California Facility, in violation of California Code of Regulations, title 22, sections 66262.34 and 66265.174;
- 4.4.b.7. Failing to timely cause to be prepared and filed with the Department of Toxic Substance Control ("DTSC") a hazardous waste manifest for all hazardous waste that is transported, or submitted for transportation, for offsite handling, treatment, storage, disposal, or any combination thereof, from any California Facility, in violation of Health

and Safety Code section 25160(b)(3) and California Code of Regulations, title 22, section 66262.23;

4.4.b.8. Failing to contact the owner or operator of a designated facility that was to receive hazardous waste from Defendant to determine the status of the hazardous waste, in the event Defendant has not received a copy of the manifest signed by all transporters and the facility operator within thirty-five (35) days of the date the waste was accepted by the initial transporter, in violation of Health and Safety Code section 25160(b)(3) and California Code of Regulations, title 22, section 66262.42;

4.4.b.9. Failing to timely notify the DTSC by filing an exception report concerning a treatment, storage, or disposal facility's failure to return any executed manifest, in violation of Health and Safety Code section 25160(b)(3) and California Code of Regulations, title 22, section 66262.42;

4.4.b.10. Failing to maintain copies of hazardous waste manifests for three (3) years, in violation of California Code of Regulations, title 22, section 66262.40;

4.4.b.11. Unlawfully failing to segregate incompatible hazardous waste items, in violation of California Code of Regulations, title 22, section 66265.177;

4.4.b.12. Failing to comply with employee training obligations pertaining to the handling of hazardous waste at the California Facilities, in violation of California Code of Regulations, title 22, section 66262.34(d) and 40 C.F.R. section 262.16(b)(9)(iii);

4.4.b.13. Failing to properly manage, mark, and store universal waste at each California Facility in violation of the standards for universal waste management found in California Code of Regulations, title 22, sections 66273.33 through 66273.36; or in the alternative, failing to manage such waste as hazardous waste as required by Chapter 6.5 and its implementing regulations in California Code of Regulations, title 22, including, but not limited to, section 66262.34;

4.4.b.14. Failing to keep a record of each shipment of universal waste sent from any California Facility, in violation of California Code of Regulations, title 22, section 66273.39; or in the alternative, failing to manage such waste as hazardous waste in

violation of Chapter 6.5 and its implementing regulations in California Code of Regulations, title 22, including, but not limited to, section 66262.34;

- 4.4.b.15. Failing to establish, implement or submit to the responsible CUPA, a hazardous materials business plan for each of the California Facilities, in violation of Health and Safety Code sections 25505, 25507, and 25508, and California Code of Regulations, title 19, section 2650;
- 4.4.b.16. Failing to implement, maintain, and comply with an employee training program on hazardous materials in violation of Health and Safety Code section 25505(a)(4), and California Code of Regulations, title 19, section 2659;
- 4.4.b.17. Failing to immediately report any release or threatened release of a reportable quantity of any hazardous material from any California Facility into the environment, in violation of Health and Safety Code section 25510;
- 4.4.b.18. Failing to maintain adequate records demonstrating claim of exemption for excluded recyclable material, as required by Health and Safety Code section 25143.2 and Title 22 of the California Code of Regulations, section 66261.2, subdivision (g);
- 4.4.b.19. Failing to maintain aisle space to allow the unobstructed movement of personnel, fire protection equipment, spill control equipment, and decontamination equipment to any area of facility operation in an emergency, in violation of Title 22 of the California Code of Regulations, section 66265.35;
- 4.4.b.20. Failing to maintain and operate facility to minimize the possibility of a fire, explosion, or any unplanned sudden or non-sudden release of hazardous waste, as required by Title 22 of the California Code of Regulations, section 66265.31;
- 4.4.b.21. Failing to test and maintain as necessary, all facility communications or alarm systems, fire protection equipment, spill control equipment, and decontamination equipment to ensure its proper operation in time of emergency, as required by Title 22 of the California Code of Regulations, section 66265.33;
- 4.4.b.22. Failing to take all reasonable steps to dispose, or arrange for the disposal, of customer records generated as a result of Defendant's business operations in California

within its custody or control containing personal information as required by Civil Code 1798.81; and

- 4.4.b.23. Failing to comply with the California MWMA, Health and Safety Code section 117600 et seq., by failing to have a Medical Waste Management Plan, failing to retain on file disposal receipts and tracking documents for waste shipped offsite for three (3) years, and improperly storing, transporting, and disposing of pharmaceutical wastes.
- 4.4.c. **Training Records** Defendant shall maintain proof of the training required by Title 22 of the California Code of Regulations, section 66265.34, subdivision (d) and Title 40 of the Code of Federal Regulations, section 262.16, subdivision (b)(9)(iii) for each employee as follows:
 - 4.4.c.1. For any training conducted in accordance with 4.4.b., Defendants shall maintain documentation sufficient to identify which employees received training and on what dates. Such records may be maintained electronically. Defendants shall maintain any documentation for a period of five (5) years from the date the training was conducted, in a manner that allows retrieval of the records upon request by governmental inspection within five (5) business days.
 - 4.4.c.2. Defendants shall require California employees providing hazardous waste-related services to participate in a training program to familiarize them with hazardous waste handling and emergency procedures, relevant to the employee's responsibilities during normal operations and emergencies, within six (6) months of hire. California employees shall not work in unsupervised positions providing hazardous waste-related services until they have completed such training program. To facilitate compliance with this requirement, Defendant shall review appropriate records quarterly; and.
 - 4.4.c.3. Defendant shall make available all training records maintained for each California Facility within five (5) business days upon request by any UPA Inspector, peace officer, agent of the Department of Justice, California Environmental Protection Agency, the DTSC, or District Attorney.

4.4.d. Defendant's Compliance Program – California Environmental Compliance Employee

Defendant shall employ at least one (1) full-time employee, or equivalent, responsible for supporting environmental compliance at California Facilities (California Compliance Employee). The California Compliance Employee shall be familiar with the requirements of this Final Judgment and shall, together with other employees deemed appropriate by Defendant, work with the Compliance Consultant to oversee Defendant's hazardous waste and hazardous materials compliance programs. It is recognized that the full-time employee may also have other responsibilities, including without limitation, environmental, health, regulatory and safety matters not related to hazardous waste. The California Compliance Employee's responsibilities shall include, among other things, driving compliance with Defendant on hazardous, universal, and medical waste management policies at California Facilities, conducting periodic assessments to monitor compliance to Defendant's operational and regulatory requirements, participate in the development and implementation of environmental-related initiatives and help facilitate any necessary response following the issuance of any notice of violation received by a California Facility from any UPA or Participating Agency.

4.4.e. Defendant's Compliance Program - Employee training and education

Defendant shall continue to maintain and periodically update employee awareness training programs to supplement annual training on waste management policies and procedures, including, for example, Defendants "Know Before You Throw" awareness campaign and environmental compliance related job aids, computer-based training, and relevant signage in stockroom and associate only areas, on compactors/trash receptacles, and the Defendant's environmental recycling areas.

4.4.f. Implementation of Handheld Scanning System for Product Returns.

Defendant shall develop and implement handheld, electronic scanners with enhanced software to provide real time guidance to retail store employees that process product returns regarding the proper management and waste classification, if any, for potentially hazardous items being returned by Defendant's customers.

5. CIVIL PENALTIES, SUPPLEMENTAL ENVIRONMENTAL PROJECTS AND COSTS

5.1 Civil Penalties

Within twenty-one (21) business days after entry of this Final Judgment, Defendant shall pay SEVEN HUNDRED AND EIGHTY-SEVEN THOUSAND FIVE HUNDRED DOLLARS (\$787,500.00) as civil penalties pursuant to Health and Safety Code sections 25189 and 25515, and Business and Professions Code section 17206 and Government Code section 26506, to be distributed to the prosecuting agencies/regulatory agencies identified in, and in accordance with, the terms of **Exhibits B-1 and B-2**, attached and made part of this Final Judgment on Consent by this reference.

5.2 Supplemental Environmental Projects

Within twenty-one (21) business days after entry of this Final Judgment, Defendant shall pay ONE HUNDRED AND TWELVE THOUSAND FIVE HUNDRED DOLLARS (\$112,500.00) for supplemental environmental projects identified in, and in accordance with the terms of **Exhibit C**, attached.

5.3 <u>Hazardous Waste Minimization and Enhanced Compliance Projects</u>

Defendant shall continue to implement, for a period of no less than five (5) years after the Effective date of the Final Judgment, projects to reduce hazardous waste generation and enhance the performance of Defendant's hazardous waste management in California, including but not limited to the specific projects identified in paragraphs 4.4.a. (Compliance Consultant), 4.4.b. (Defendant's Compliance Program – Written Policies and Procedures), 4.4.c. (Training Records), 4.4.d. (Defendant's Compliance Program – California Environmental Compliance Employee), 4.4.d. (Defendant's Compliance Program – Employee training and education), and 4.4.e. (Handheld Scanners).

5.4 Reimbursement of Costs of Investigation and Enforcement

Within twenty-one (21) business days after entry of this Final Judgment, Defendant shall pay TWO HUNDRED AND SIXTY THOUSAND DOLLARS (\$260,000.00) for reimbursement of attorney's fees, costs of investigation, and other costs of enforcement, to the entities identified in, and in accordance with the terms of, **Exhibits D-1 and D-2**, attached.

5.5

D-2.

6. MATTERS COVERED BY THIS FINAL JUDGMENT

Payments and Expenditures

6.1 This Final Judgment is a final and binding resolution and settlement of all claims, violations and causes of action that were asserted or could have been asserted within the scope of the allegations specifically set forth in the Complaint against Defendant and its officers, directors and employees through January 14, 2019 regarding the Included Facilities ("Covered Matters".) Any claim, violation, or cause of action that is not a Covered Matter is a "Reserved Claim." Reserved Claims include, without limitation, any violation unknown to the People at the time of filing of the Complaint herein, and any violation that occurs after the filing of the Complaint, and any claim or cause of action against Defendant for performance of cleanup, corrective action, or responsive action for any actual past or future release, spill, or disposal of any hazardous waste, hazardous substance, hazardous material, universal waste, or any other material, substance, or waste. The People reserve the right to pursue any Reserved Claim, and Defendant reserves its defenses against any Reserved Claim. Nothing in this paragraph shall be interpreted to limit or restrict any claims that the People may assert against any independent contractor or subcontractor of Defendant.

The payment of all civil penalties, reimbursement of cost payments and other expenditures

set forth in paragraphs 5.1, 5.2, and 5.4, above, shall be made by checks and delivered to the District

distributed and used as herein requested by Plaintiff and as set forth in Exhibits B-1, B-2, C, D-1 and

Attorney's Office for the County of San Joaquin, Attention: Celeste Kaisch, Deputy District

Attorney, for distribution. Pursuant to the terms of this Final Judgment and Government Code

section 26506 and without objection by Defendant, the above-referenced payments shall be

- 6.2. In any subsequent action that may be brought by the People based on any Reserved Claim, Defendant agrees that it will not assert that failing to pursue any Reserved Claim as part of this action constitutes claim-splitting.
- 6.3. The continuing effect of paragraph 6.1 is expressly conditioned on Defendant's payment and expenditure of all amounts required under this Final Judgment and compliance with the injunctive terms herein.

21 7.

///

- 6.4 The continuing effect of paragraph 6.1 is expressly conditioned on Defendant's payment and expenditure of all amounts required under this Final Judgment and compliance with the injunctive terms herein.
- 6.5. Paragraph 6.1 does not limit the ability of the People to enforce the terms of this Final Judgment on Consent.
- 6.6. Defendant, on behalf of itself and its officers, directors and employees, covenants not to pursue any civil or administrative claims against the People or against any agency of the State of California, any county, or city in the State of California, or any UPA, Participating Agency or local agency (collectively "Agencies"), or against any of their officers, employees, representatives, agents or attorneys, arising out of or related to any Covered Matter; provided, however, that if any Agencies initiate claims against Defendant that are independent of the claims asserted in this action, Defendant reserves any and all rights, claims, demands and defenses against such Agencies.
- 6.7. Any event that is beyond the control of Defendant and that prevents timely performance of any obligation under Paragraph 4 of this Final Judgment, despite its best efforts to fulfill that obligation, is a "force majeure" event. The requirement that Defendant exercise its "best efforts to fulfill the obligation" includes the requirement that Defendant use its best efforts to anticipate any potential force majeure event and use best efforts to address the effects of any potential force majeure event: (1) as it is occurring, and (2) following the force majeure event, such that the delay is minimized to the greatest extent feasible. "Force majeure" does not include financial inability to fund or complete an obligation.

7. NOTICE

All submissions and notices required by this Final Judgment shall be sent to:

For the People:

Celeste Kaisch
Deputy District Attorney
San Joaquin County District Attorney's Office
222 E. Weber Ave., Room 202
Stockton, CA 95202
celeste.kaisch@sjcda.org

1 David J. Irev Assistant Chief Deputy District Attorney 2 Yolo County District Attorney's Office Consumer Fraud and Environmental Protection Division 3 301 Second Street Woodland, CA 95695 4 David.irey@yolocounty.org 5 Dije Ndreu Deputy District Attorney 6 Monterey County District Attorney's Office Consumer Affairs and Environmental Protection Division 1200 Aguajito Road, Room 301 Monterey, CA 93940 8 ndreud@co.monterey.ca.us 9 For Defendant, Kohl's Department Stores, Inc.: 10 Jason J. Kelroy Executive Vice President, General Counsel & Secretary 11 Kohl's Department Stores, Inc. N56 W17000 Ridgewood Drive 12 Menomonee Falls, WI 53051 Jason.kelroy@kohls.com 13 With copy to: 14 Gary J. Smith 15 Principal Beveridge & Diamond P.C. 16 456 Montgomery Street, Suite 1800 San Francisco, CA 94104 17 GSmith@bdlaw.com 18 Any Party may change its notice name and address by informing the other party in writing, 19 but no change is effective until it is received. All notices and other communications required or 20 permitted under this Final Judgment that are properly addressed as provided in this paragraph are 21 effective upon delivery if delivered personally or by overnight mail, or are effective five (5) days 22 following deposit in the United States mail, postage prepaid, if delivered by mail, or the day that 23 electronic mail is sent if sent before 5 p.m. to the electronic mail addresses of the designated 24 recipients for notice concurrent with sending the notice by overnight mail. 25 8. EFFECT OF FINAL JUDGMENT 26 Except as expressly provided in this Final Judgment, nothing in this Final Judgment is

department, board, or any UPA from exercising its authority under any law, statute or regulation.

intended, nor shall it be construed, to preclude the People, or any state, county, city, or local agency,

27

28

9. NO WAIVER OF RIGHT TO ENFORCE

The failure of the People to enforce any provision of this Final Judgment shall neither be deemed a waiver of such provision nor in any way affect the validity of this Final Judgment. The failure of the People to enforce any such provision shall not preclude them from later enforcing the same or any other provision of this Final Judgment. Except as expressly provided in this Final Judgment, Defendant retains all defenses allowed by law to any such later enforcement.

10. INTERPRETATION

This Final Judgment was drafted equally by all Parties hereto. Accordingly, any and all rules of construction including Civil Code section 1654, which provides that ambiguity is construed against the drafting party, shall not apply to the interpretation of this Final Judgment.

11. <u>INTEGRATION</u>

This Final Judgment constitutes the entire agreement between the Parties and may not be amended or supplemented except as provided for herein. No oral advice, guidance, suggestions or comments by employees or officials of any Party regarding matters covered in this Final Judgment shall be construed to relieve any Party of its obligations under this Final Judgment. No oral representations have been made or relied upon other than as expressly set forth herein.

12. FUTURE REGULATORY CHANGES

Nothing in this Final Judgment shall excuse Defendant from meeting any more-stringent requirement that may be imposed by applicable existing law or by any change in the applicable law. To the extent any future statutory or regulatory change makes Defendant's obligations less stringent than those provided for in this Final Judgment, Defendant's compliance with the changed law shall be deemed compliance with this Final Judgment; however, any change in law or regulation shall not reduce or diminish Defendant's obligations to comply with section 4.4., above.

13. TERMINATION OF COMPLIANCE PROGRAM

Defendant's obligations to engage in a compliance program pursuant to Paragraph 4.4 of this Final Judgment shall terminate five (5) years after the Effective Date of this Final Judgment.

14. <u>CONTINUING JURISDICTION</u>

The Court shall retain continuing jurisdiction to enforce the injunctive terms of this Final

Judgment and to address any other matters arising out of or regarding this Final Judgment.

15. ABILITY TO INSPECT AND COPY RECORDS AND DOCUMENTS

Defendant shall permit any duly authorized representative of the People to inspect and copy records and documents relevant to determine compliance with the terms of this Final Judgment, provided that the People may not request any internal or third-party audits the Defendant may choose to conduct related to environmental compliance. This paragraph shall not limit the People's authority to access or obtain information, records and documents pursuant to any other statute or regulation.

Nothing in this paragraph is intended to require access to or production of any documents that are protected from production or disclosure by the attorney-client privilege, attorney work product doctrine, any other applicable privilege, defense, exemption, or immunity afforded to Defendant under applicable law, nor does it waive any of the objections or defenses to which Defendant would be entitled in responding to requests for documents made by subpoena or other formal legal process or discovery. This obligation shall not require Defendant to alter its normal document-retention policies (including, but not limited to policies regarding backup tapes for electronic documents); provided, however, that Defendant's policies must comply with Health and Safety Code Chapters 6.5 and 6.95; and their implementing regulations and any other applicable law, to the extent those provisions apply to Defendant's California Facilities.

16. PAYMENT OF LITIGATION EXPENSES AND FEES

Defendant shall make no request of the People to pay its attorney fees, expert witness fees and costs, or any other costs of litigation or investigation incurred to date.

17. COUNTERPART SIGNATURES

The stipulation for entry of this Final Judgment may be executed by the Parties in counterparts.

18. <u>INCORPORATION OF EXHIBITS</u>

Exhibits "A" through "D-2" are incorporated herein by reference.

19. MODIFICATION

The injunctive provisions of this Final Judgment may be modified only on noticed motion by

one of the Parties with approval of the Court, or upon written consent by all of the Parties and the approval of the Court.

20. STATUS REPORTS

Beginning six (6) months after entry of this Final Judgment, for as long as this Final Judgment remains in effect, Defendant shall submit an annual status report to the People's representatives listed in Paragraph 7 above.

The status report shall include the following: (1) a brief summary of the actions taken by the Compliance Consultant during the prior calendar year at the California Facilities to comply with its obligations under this Final Judgment; (2) disclose and provide copies of any notices of violation, pertaining to any Covered Matters, that Defendant has received pertaining to environmental matters at its California Facilities, and disclose any corrective measures taken as a result; and (3) disclose any return to compliance or corrective measures taken as a result of any notices of violation issued to Kohl's at its California Facilities; (4) set forth any penalty amounts Defendant has paid to any California governmental agency for alleged noncompliance with any of the aforementioned environmental statutes or regulations arising from its California Facilities; and .

Each status report shall be signed by a responsible corporate officer or the California Environmental Compliance Employee and include the following certification:

I certify under penalty of perjury that I have personally examined and am familiar with the information submitted in this document and all documents submitted herewith; and that, to the best of my knowledge and belief, the submitted information is true, accurate, and complete.

21. <u>TERMINATION OF PERMANENT INJUNCTION</u>

At any time after this Final Judgment has been in effect for five (5) years, and Defendant has paid all amounts due under the Final Judgment, Defendant may move to terminate the injunctive provisions in Paragraph 4.2 and 4.3, pursuant to Code of Civil Procedure sections 533 and 3424. After this Final Judgment has been in effect for seven (7) years, and Defendant has paid all amounts required under the final Judgment, the injunctive provisions in Paragraph 4.2 and 4.3 will terminate automatically.

1	22. EFFECTIVE DATE OF FINAL	L JUDGMENT
2	This Final Judgment shall becom	e effective upon entry and Notice of Entry of Judgment is
3	waived.	
4	IT IS SO STIPULATED.	
5	FOR THE PEOPLE:	
6		
7	×	NANCY E. O'MALLEY, District Attorney
8		County of Alameda, State of California
9	DATED: 3-20-19	By: Secretal /
10		KENNETH A. MIFSUD Assistant District Attorney
11		Crissistant District Attorney
12		MICHAEL L. RAMSEY, District Attorney
13	8	County of Butte, State of California
14	DATED:	Ву:
15	Dillio.	ROBERT E. NICHOLS
16		Deputy District Attorney
17		DIANA BECTON, District Attorney
18		County of Contra Costa, State of California
19	D.ATTE	The state of the s
20	DATED:	By:STACEY GRASSINI
21		Deputy District Attorney
22		LISA A. SMITTCAMP, District Attorney
23		County of Fresno, State of California
24		î.
25	DATED:	By:ADAM J. KOOK
26	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	Deputy District Attorney
27		
28		
	1-00	18

2	-	This Final Judgment shall become effective upon entry and Notice of Entry of Judgment is
3	waive	ed.
4		IT IS SO STIPULATED.
5	FOR 7	THE PEOPLE:
6		
7		NANCY E. O'MALLEY, District Attorney County of Alameda, State of California
8		
9	DA	ATED: By: KENNETH A. MIFSUD
10		Assistant District Attorney
11		
12		MICHAEL L. RAMSEY, District Attorney County of Butte, State of California
13		
14	DA	ATED: 3/19/18 By: Range of the state of the
15		ROBERT E. NICHOLS Deputy District Attorney
16		
17		DIANA BECTON, District Attorney County of Contra Costa, State of California
18		
19	DA	ATED: 31119 By: ATED TO STATE OF A SIGN W
20		STACEY GRASSINI Deputy District Attorney
21		
22 23		LISA A. SMITTCAMP, District Attorney County of Fresno, State of California
24		
25	DAT	TED: By:
26		ADAM J. KOOK Deputy District Attorney
27		
28		
		18

1	22. EFFECTIVE DATE OF FINAL	L JUDGMENT
2	This Final Judgment shall become	e effective upon entry and Notice of Entry of Judgment is
3	waived.	
4	IT IS SO STIPULATED.	
5	FOR THE PEOPLE:	
6		
7		NANCY E. O'MALLEY, District Attorney County of Alameda, State of California
8	·	County of Afameda, State of Camorina
9	DATED:	By:
10	*	KENNETH A. MIFSUD Assistant District Attorney
11		
12		MICHAEL L. RAMSEY, District Attorney
13		County of Butte, State of California
14	DATED:	Ву:
15		ROBERT E. NICHOLS
16	167	Deputy District Attorney
17		DIANA BECTON, District Attorney
18		County of Contra Costa, State of California
19		
20	DATED:	By: STACEY GRASSINI
21	1,0	Deputy District Attorney
22		
Table 1		LISA A. SMITTCAMP, District Attorney County of Fresno, State of California
23		A I I I I
24	DATED: 3/4/19	By: Man Kowh
25.		ADAM J. KOOK Deputy District Attorney
26		Deputy District Attorney
27		
28		
- 1		10.2

	*
1	MAGGIE FLEMING, District Attorney
2	County of Humboldt, State of California
3	DATED: 3/19/19 (By:) 1 / () 1 / ()
4	ROBERT E. NICHOLS
5	Deputy District Attorney
6	GILBERT G. OTERO, District Attorney
7	County of Imperial, State of California
8	1 4 5 (-1.16h
9	DATED: 3/19/19 By: GILBERT G. OTERO
10	District Attorney
11	CYNTHIA ZIMMER, District Attorney
12	County of Kern, State of California
13	DATED: 3-4-19 By: My w. loe
14	DATED: 3-4-19 By: JEFFREY W. MOE
15	Deputy District Attorney
16	WENTELL BACKBIDES District Attorney
17	KEITH L. FAGUNDES, District Attorney County of Kings, State of California
18	11 - 15 -
19	DATED: 3/19/19 By: ROBERT E. NICHOLS
20	Deputy District Attorney
21	
22	MICHAEL N. FEUER, City Attorney City of Los Angeles, State of California
23	111 11111111111111111111111111111111111
24	DATED: 3/11/19 By: Letter Alle Alle Alle Alle Alle Alle Alle Al
25	Supervising Deputy City Attorney
26	
27	
28	
	19 STIPULATION FOR ENTRY OF FINAL JUDGMENT AND PERMANENT INJUNCTION

		8
1		JACKIE LACEY, District Attorney
2		County of Los Angeles, State of California
3	DATED: 3.21-19	By: In A Wight
4	3414	DANIELA, WRIGHT
5		Deputy District Attorney
6	8	- havenessahed at the second
7		LORI FRUGOLI, District Attorney County of Marin, State of California
8	Control Control	
9	DATED:	By:ANDRES H. PEREZ
10		Deputy District Attorney
11		
12	*	C. DAVID EYSTER, District Attorney
13		County of Mendocino, State of California
14	DATED:	By:
	Dates.	ROBERT E. NICHOLS
15		Deputy District Attorney
16		
17		KIMBERLY LEWIS, District Attorney County of Merced, State of California
18		County of Merced, State of Camornia
19	DATED:	Ву:
20		ROBERT E. NICHOLS
21		Deputy District Attorney
		TEANINITE M. DA GIONTI DISALLA A MANAGEMENT
22		JEANNINE M. PACIONI, District Attorney County of Monterey, State of California
23		
24	DATED:	By: DIJE NDREU
25		DIJE NDREU Deputy District Attorney
26		Deputy District Attorney
27	2	
28		

	*
1	JACKIE LACEY, District Attorney County of Los Angeles, State of California
2	
4	DATED: By: DANIEL J. WRIGHT
5	Deputy District Attorney
6	LORI FRUGOLI, District Attorney
7	County of Marin, State of California
8	DATED: 3/1/19 By: Myches # Kery
9 10	ANDRES H. PEREZ Deputy District Attorney
11	
12	C. DAVID EYSTER, District Attorney County of Mendocino, State of California
13	
14	DATED: 3/19/19 By: Bolin ROBERT E. NICHOLS
15	Deputy District Attorney
16	
17	KIMBERLY LEWIS, District Attorney County of Merced, State of California
18	
19	DATED: 3/19/19 By: 300 ROBERT E. NICHOLS
21	Deputy District Attorney
22	JEANNINE M. PACIONI, District Attorney
23	County of Monterey, State of California
24	DATED: By:
25	DIJE NDREU Deputy District Attorney
26	Deputy District Attorney
27	
28	
	20
- 1	STIPULATION FOR ENTRY OF FINAL JUDGMENT AND PERMANENT INJUNCTION

1 2		JACKIE LACEY, District Attorney County of Los Angeles, State of California
3		
4	DATED:	By:DANIEL J. WRIGHT
5		Deputy District Attorney
6	76.	
		LORI FRUGOLI, District Attorney County of Marin, State of California
7		County of Marin, State of Camornia
8	DATED:	By:
9		By:ANDRES H. PEREZ Deputy District Attorney
10		Deputy District Attorney
11		C. DAVID EYSTER, District Attorney
12		County of Mendocino, State of California
13	9	
14	DATED:	By:ROBERT E. NICHOLS
15	o e	Deputy District Attorney
16		
17		KIMBERLY LEWIS, District Attorney County of Merced, State of California
18		•
19	DATED:	By:ROBERT E. NICHOLS
20		ROBERT E. NICHOLS Deputy District Attorney
21		*
22		JEANNINE M. PACIONI, District Attorney
23		County of Monterey, State of California
24	DATED: 3/4/19	Par.
25	DATED. STOTIO	By: DIJE NDREU
26		Deputy District Attorney
27		
28		

		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
1	*	ALLISON HALEY, District Attorney
2		County of Napa, State of California
3	7/1/10	
4	DATED: 3/1/19	By: PATRICK COLLINS
5		Deputy District Attorney
6		
7		TODD SPITZER, District Attorney County of Orange, State of California
8	**	
9	DATED:	Ву:
10	v	WILLIAM G. FALLON Deputy District Attorney
11		
12		R. SCOTT OWENS, District Attorney
13		County of Placer, State of California
14	DATED:	By:
15		JANE CRUE
16		Deputy District Attorney
17	*	MICHAEL A. HESTRIN, District Attorney
18		County of Riverside, State of California
19	Dimen Haidy 2019	2 W
20	DATED: March 1 2019	By: MARTINEAU
21	*	Deputy District Attorney
		ANNE MADIE COHIDERT Dietalet Attenuere
22 23		ANNE MARIE SCHUBERT, District Attorney County of Sacramento, State of California
24	DATED:	By:
25		Supervising Deputy District Attorney
26		
27		
28		

1 2		ALLISON HALEY, District Attorney County of Napa, State of California
3 4 5	DATED:	By: PATRICK COLLINS Deputy District Attorney
6		TODD SPITZER, District Attorney County of Orange, State of California
8 9 10	DATED: 3/4/19	By: WILLIAM G. FALLON Deputy District Attorney
11 12 13		R. SCOTT OWENS, District Attorney County of Placer, State of California
14 15 16	DATED:	By: JANE CRUE Deputy District Attorney
17 18		MICHAEL A. HESTRIN, District Attorney County of Riverside, State of California
19 20 21 22	DATED:	By: LAUREN R. MARTINEAU Deputy District Attorney ANNE MARIE SCHUBERT, District Attorney County of Sacramento, State of California
223 224 225 226 227	DATED:	By: DOUGLAS WHALEY Supervising Deputy District Attorney
8		

1		ALLISON HALEY, District Attorney County of Napa, State of California
3	DATED:	Ву:
4		PATRICK COLLINS Deputy District Attorney
5		Deputy District Attorney
6		TODD SPITZER, District Attorney
7		County of Orange, State of California
8		
9 .	DATED:	Ву:
10	g .	WILLIAM G. FALLON Deputy District Attorney
		espen, es
11		R. SCOTT OWENS, District Attorney
12		County of Placer, State of California
13	1 1	$\alpha \sim 0$
14	DATED: 3/1/19	By: / Mullin
15		JANE CRUE Deputy District Attorney
16		
17		MICHAEL A. HESTRIN, District Attorney
18		County of Riverside, State of California
19	DATED:	By:LAUREN R. MARTINEAU
20		Deputy District Attorney
21		
22		ANNE MARIE SCHUBERT, District Attorney
23		County of Sacramento, State of California
24	D.4 (FIDE)	
25	DATED:	By:
26	* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *	Supervising Deputy District Attorney
27		
28	Laboration of the state of the	

- 1		
1	4	ALLISON HALEY, District Attorney
2		County of Napa, State of California
3		
4	DATED:	By:PATRICK COLLINS
5	•	Deputy District Attorney
6		
		TODD SPITZER, District Attorney
7		County of Orange, State of California
8		···
9	DATED:	By:WILLIAM G. FALLON
10		Deputy District Attorney
11		
		R. SCOTT OWENS, District Attorney
12		County of Placer, State of California
13		
14	DATED:	By:
15		Deputy District Attorney
16		
17		MICHAEL A. HESTRIN, District Attorney
		County of Riverside, State of California
18	A	. 1
19	DATED: March 1 2019	By: LAUREN R. MARTINEAU
20		Deputy District Attorney
21		The state of the s
22		ANNE MARIE SCHUBERT, District Attorney
23		County of Sacramento, State of California
24	Company of the second	
- 11	DATED: MARCH 4 ZOIG	By: DOUGLAS WHALEY
25.	1,8	Supervising Deputy District Attorney
26	1 5 3	
27		
28		

1		JASON ANDERSON, District Attorney
2	1	County of San Bernardino, State of California
3	DATED: 3/5/19	By: Da Colonia
4	DITED: 0/4/11	DANIEL LOUGH Deputy District Atternay
5		Deputy District Attorney
6		MARA W. ELLIOTT, City Attorney
7		City of San Diego, State of California
8	DATED	Des
9	DATED:	By: MARK ANKCORN
10		Chief Deputy City Attorney
11		SUMMER STEPHAN, District Attorney
12		County of San Diego, State of California
13		
14	DATED:	By:ELIZABETH MCCLUTCHEY
15		Deputy District Attorney
16		
17		TORI VERBER SALAZAR, District Attorney County of San Joaquin, State of California
18		•
19	DATED:	Ву:
20		CELESTE KAISCH Deputy District Attorney
21		
22		DAN DOW, District Attorney County of San Luis Obispo, State of California
23		County of San Luis Coispo, State of Cantonna
24	DATED:	Ву:
25		CHARLES V. BLAIR, II Deputy District Attorney
26		~-F7 ~
27		
28		

	II .		
1			JASON ANDERSON, District Attorney
2			County of San Bernardino, State of California
3	DATED:		Dyr
4	DATED.		By:
5			Deputy District Attorney
6			
7			MARA W. ELLIOTT, City Attorney City of San Diego, State of California
8			11/
9	DATED:	March 5, 2019	By: / Mh Nm
10			MARK ANKCORN Chief Deputy City Attorney
10.434	1.3		emer populy enty renormey
11			SUMMER STEPHAN, District Attorney
12			County of San Diego, State of California
13			
14	DATED:		By:ELIZABETH MCCLUTCHEY
15			Deputy District Attorney
16			
17			TORI VERBER SALAZAR, District Attorney
18			County of San Joaquin, State of California
19	DATED:		By:
20	DATED.		CELESTE KAISCH
POWER			Deputy District Attorney
21			DANDOW D'A'AA
22			DAN DOW, District Attorney County of San Luis Obispo, State of California
23			
24	DATED:		Ву:
25			CHARLES V. BLAIR, II Deputy District Attorney
26			Deputy District Attorney
27			
28			

	JASON ANDERSON, District Attorney County of San Bernardino, State of California
91147	
DATED:	By: DANIEL LOUGH
	Deputy District Attorney
	MARA W. ELLIOTT, City Attorney City of San Diego, State of California
	City of San Diego, State of Camonna
DATED:	By:
	MARK ANKCORN
	Chief Deputy City Attorney
	SUMMER STEPHAN, District Attorney
	County of San Diego, State of California
ادان	QUICALL
DATED: 3/5/19	By: XYY TUCKEY
	Deputy District Attorney
	TORI VERBER SALAZAR, District Attorney County of San Joaquin, State of California
	County of Sun Youquin, Suns of Sun of the
DATED: 3/11/19	By: Wille Kuisch
7.777	CELESTE KAISCH Deputy District Attorney
	Deputy District Attorney
	DAN DOW, District Attorney
	County of San Luis Obispo, State of California
d.	
DATED:	By: CHARLES V. BLAIR, II
· ·	Deputy District Attorney

- 1		
1		JASON ANDERSON, District Attorney
2	xi	County of San Bernardino, State of California
3	D 1 mm	
4	DATED:	By:
5		Deputy District Attorney
6		
7		MARA W. ELLIOTT, City Attorney City of San Diego, State of California
8	*	
9	DATED:	By: MARK ANKCORN
10		Chief Deputy City Attorney
11		
12.		SUMMER STEPHAN, District Attorney County of San Diego, State of California
13		county of buil blogo, butto of cultionia
14	DATED:	By:ELIZABETH MCCLUTCHEY
15		ELIZABETH MCCLUTCHEY Deputy District Attorney
16		
17	ka Tr	TORI VERBER SALAZAR, District Attorney County of San Joaquin, State of California
18		
19	DATED:	By:CELESTE KAISCH
20		Deputy District Attorney
21		
22		DAN DOW, District Attorney
23	, , ,	County of San Luis Obispo, State of California
24	DATED: 3 12 19	By: My / My
25		CHARLES V. BLAIR, II
26		Deputy District Attorney
27		
,,		

	STEPHEN M. WAGSTAFFE, District Attorne County of San Mateo, State of California
	County of Sair Water, State of Camornia
DATED: 3-1-1	9 By: John 6. 2/-
	ONN E. WILSON Deputy District Attorney In Charge
	Deputy District Attorney in Charge
	JOYCE E. DUDLEY, District Attorney
	County of Santa Barbara, State of California
DATED:	Ву:
	CHRISTOPHER DALBEY Deputy District Attorney
	Deputy District Attorney
	JEFFREY F. ROSEN, District Attorney
	County of Santa Clara, State of California
DATED:	By:
	BUD PORTER Supervising Deputy District Attorney
	STEPHANIE A. BRIDGETT, District Attorney
	County of Shasta, State of California
DATED:	By:
	ANAND B. JESRANI Deputy District Attorney
	KRISHNA A. ABRAMS, District Attorney
	County of Solano, State of California
DATED:	Ву:
	DIANE M. NEWMAN
	Deputy District Attorney
	[2] 기존의 전문이 되었는데 그릇을 잃었다.
	23

	41		
1			STEPHEN M. WAGSTAFFE, District Attorney
2			County of San Mateo, State of California
3 4	DATED: _		By:
5			Deputy District Attorney In Charge
6			IONOE E DUDI EN D'ALLA A
7			JOYCE E. DUDLEY, District Attorney County of Santa Barbara, State of California
8		1.1.	11/20
9	DATED:	3/4/2019	By: CHRISTOPHER DALBEY
10			Deputy District Attorney
11			
12			JEFFREY F. ROSEN, District Attorney County of Santa Clara, State of California
13			30 may 22 30 may 2
14	DATED:		Ву:
15			BUD PORTER Supervising Deputy District Attorney
16			3
17			STEPHANIE A. BRIDGETT, District Attorney County of Shasta, State of California
18			County of Shasta, State of Camornia
19	DATED:		By:
20			ANAND B. JESRANI Deputy District Attorney
21			Deputy District recorney
22			KRISHNA A. ABRAMS, District Attorney
23	5 &		County of Solano, State of California
24	DATED:		D _V
25	DATED		By:DIANE M. NEWMAN
26			Deputy District Attorney
27			
28			
- 11			. *

	11	
1		STEPHEN M. WAGSTAFFE, District Attorney
2		County of San Mateo, State of California
3 4 5	DATED:	By: JOHN E. WILSON Deputy District Attorney In Charge
6 7		JOYCE E. DUDLEY, District Attorney County of Santa Barbara, State of California
8 9 10	DATED:	By: CHRISTOPHER DALBEY Deputy District Attorney
11 12 13 14 15	DATED: 3/12/19	JEFFREY F. ROSEN, District Attorney County of Santa Clara, State of California By: BUD PORTER Supervising Deputy District Attorney
16 17 18		STEPHANIE A. BRIDGETT, District Attorney County of Shasta, State of California
19	DATED:	By:
20		ANAND B. JESRANI Deputy District Attorney
21		Deputy District Attorney
22		KRISHNA A. ABRAMS, District Attorney County of Solano, State of California
23		AND
24	DATED:	By:
25		DIANE M. NEWMAN Deputy District Attorney
26		
27		
28		

	ľ	
1 2		STEPHEN M. WAGSTAFFE, District Attorney County of San Mateo, State of California
3		
4	DATED:	By:
		Deputy District Attorney In Charge
5		
.6		JOYCE E. DUDLEY, District Attorney
7		County of Santa Barbara, State of California
8	DATED.	D
9	DATED:	By:CHRISTOPHER DALBEY
10	,	Deputy District Attorney
11	-: 	
12		JEFFREY F. ROSEN, District Attorney County of Santa Clara, State of California
13		County of Banta Clara, State of Camornia
14	DATED:	Ву:
15		BUD PORTER
	1	Supervising Deputy District Attorney
16	*	STEPHANIE A. BRIDGETT, District Attorney
17		County of Shasta, State of California
18	1 1	
19	DATED: 03/04/2019	By:
20	, 1	ANAND B. ESRANI Deputy District Attorney
21		
22		KRISHNA A. ABRAMS, District Attorney
23		County of Solano, State of California
24		
25	DATED:	By:
		Deputy District Attorney
26	4	
27		*
8		
- 11		0.0

	H		
1			STEPHEN M. WAGSTAFFE, District Attorney
2			County of San Mateo, State of California
3	DATED:		Ву:
4	DATED.	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	JOHN E. WILSON
5		· ·	Deputy District Attorney In Charge
6			JOYCE E. DUDLEY, District Attorney
7			County of Santa Barbara, State of California
8	200 10000000000000000000000000000000000		
9	DATED: _		By:CHRISTOPHER DALBEY
10			Deputy District Attorney
11	W		
12	E		JEFFREY F. ROSEN, District Attorney County of Santa Clara, State of California
13			
14	DATED:		By: BUD PORTER
15			Supervising Deputy District Attorney
16			
17			STEPHANIE A. BRIDGETT, District Attorney County of Shasta, State of California
18			commy or small, small or comment
19	DATED: _	- ppinning the same	Ву:
20			ANAND B. JESRANI Deputy District Attorney
21			
22			KRISHNA A. ABRAMS, District Attorney
23			County of Solano, State of California
24	DATED:	3/4/2019	By: Diane Deerson
25			DIANE M. NEWMAN Deputy District Attorney
26			Lopus, District Intellion
27		•	
8			

1			JILL R. RAVITCH, District Attorney County of Sonoma, State of California
2			County of Sonoma, State of Camornia.
. 3	DATED:	3/25/19	By: WWW T. O
4			MATTHEW CHEEVER
5			Deputy District Attorney
6			BIRGIT A. FLADAGER, District Attorney
7			County of Stanislaus, State of California
8			
9	DATED:		By:
10			RICHARD B. MURY, III Deputy District Attorney
11			i de la companya del companya de la companya de la companya del companya de la co
			AMANDA L. HOPPER, District Attorney
12			County of Sutter, State of California
13			
14	DATED:		By:ROBERT E. NICHOLS
15			Deputy District Attorney
16			
17			TIM WARD, District Attorney County of Tulare, State of California
18			County of Turare, State of Camorina
19	DATED:		By:
20	DATED.	VI	PAULA CLARK
21			Senior Deputy District Attorney
. 11			LAUDA I KDIEC District Att
22			LAURA L. KRIEG, District Attorney County of Tuolumne, State of California
23			
24	DATED:		Ву:
25			ROBERT E. NICHOLS Deputy District Attorney
26			Dopany District Percentage
27			
28			
			24

	H "	
1		JILL R. RAVITCH, District Attorney
2		County of Sonoma, State of California
3	5.4555	
4	DATED:	By: MATTHEW CHEEVER
5		Deputy District Attorney
6		BIRGIT A. FLADAGER, District Attorney
7		County of Stanislaus, State of California
8	2 11 19	1200
9	DATED: 3-11-19	RICHARD B. MURY, III
10		Deputy District Attorney
11		AND
12		AMANDA L. HOPPER, District Attorney County of Sutter, State of California
13		
14	DATED:	By:ROBERT E. NICHOLS
15		Deputy District Attorney
16		
17		TIM WARD, District Attorney County of Tulare, State of California
18		
19	DATED:	Ву:
20		PAULA CLARK Senior Deputy District Attorney
21		
22		LAURA L. KRIEG, District Attorney County of Tuolumne, State of California
23	11.	County of Tuordinne, State of Camornia
24	DATED:	Ву:
25		ROBERT E. NICHOLS Deputy District Attorney
26		-4-7
27	and the second	
28		Action aging to

1 2		a ac	JILL R. RAVITCH, District Attorney County of Sonoma, State of California
3			
	DATED:		Ву:
4			MATTHEW CHEEVER Deputy District Attorney
5			
6			BIRGIT A. FLADAGER, District Attorney
7			County of Stanislaus, State of California
8	DATED:		Ву:
9	DAILD.	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	RICHARD B. MURY, III
10			Deputy District Attorney
11			AMANDA I HODDED District Attorney
12			AMANDA L. HOPPER, District Attorney County of Sutter, State of California
13			
14	DATED:	3/19/19	By: ROBERT E. NICHOLS
15			Deputy District Attorney
16	¥C.		
17	, , , ,		TIM WARD, District Attorney County of Tulare, State of California
18		3/1/19	Confash
19	DATED:	2/1/14	By: PAULA CLARK
20		*	Senior Deputy District Attorney
21			
22			LAURA L. KRIEG, District Attorney County of Tuolumne, State of California
23			Sound of Fuoramino, State of Camerina
24 25	DATED:	3/19/19	By: ROBERT E. NICHOLS
26			Deputy District Attorney
			626
27			*
28			

1		GREGORY D. TOTTEN, District Attorney County of Ventura, State of California
2	les -	County of Ventura, state of Camornia
3	DATED: 3/4/2019	By: Stathalf: Owners
4	7/1/	MITCHELL F. DISNEY
5		Senior Deputy District Attorney
6		JEFF W. REISIG, District Attorney
7		County of Yolo, State of California
8		
9	DATED:	By: DAVID J. IREY
10		Assistant Chief Deputy District Attorney
11	FOR KOHL'S DEPARTMENT STORE	ES INC.
12	FOR KUHL'S DEPARTMENT STORE	25, INC.:
13		*
14	DATED:	By: STEVEN J. THOMAS
15		EVP, Chief Risk & Compliance Officer
16		Kohl's Department Stores, Inc.
17	REVIEWED AND APPROVED AS TO	FORM AND CONTENT:
18	*	
19	DATED:	By:
	DATES.	GARY J. SMITH
20		BEVERIDGE & DIAMOND, PC
21	IT IS SO ORDERED.	
22	II IS SO OKDERED.	
23		
24	DATED:	By:
25	, r	TODGE OF THE BUT ERIOR COURT
26		
27		
28		
- 11		25

1		GREGORY D. TOTTEN, District Attorney
2	* 1	County of Ventura, State of California
3	DATED:	By:
4		MITCHELL F. DISNEY
5		Senior Deputy District Attorney
6		JEFF W. REISIG, District Attorney
7		County of Yolo, State of California
8	-1-1	
9	DATED: 3/4/19	By: DAVID JAKEY
10		Assistant Chief Deputy District Attorney
11	FOR KOHL'S DEPARTMENT STORE	ES, INC.:
12		,
13.	D. (
14	DATED:	By: STEVEN J. THOMAS
15		EVP, Chief Risk & Compliance Officer Kohl's Department Stores, Inc.
16		
17	REVIEWED AND APPROVED AS TO	FORM AND CONTENT:
18		
19	DATED:	Ву:
20	*	GARY J. SMITH BEVERIDGE & DIAMOND, PC
21		
22	IT IS SO ORDERED.	
23		
24	DATED:	Ву:
25		JUDGE OF THE SUPERIOR COURT
26		
27	** & *	
28		
	a a	25

	CDECORVE MOTHER PLAN
1 2	GREGORY D. TOTTEN, District Attorney County of Ventura, State of California
3	
DATED:	By: MITCHELL F. DISNEY
5	MITCHELL F. DISNEY Senior Deputy District Attorney
6	JEFF W. REISIG, District Attorney
7	County of Yolo, State of California
8 DATED:	By:
	DAVID J. IREY
0	Assistant Chief Deputy District Attorney
FOR KOHL'S DEPARTMENT STO	DRES, INC.:
2	AD I
DATED: 3/4/2019	Ву:
1 DATES.	STEVENA THOMAS
5	EVP, Chief Risk & Compliance Officer Kohl's Department Stores, Inc.
DEVIEWED AND ADDOVED AS	TO FORM AND CONTENT.
REVIEWED AND APPROVED AS	TO FORM AND CONTENT:
8	He sein
DATED: 3/1/19	By: GARY LEMITH
	BEVERIDGE & DIAMOND, PC
IT IS SO ORDERED.	
	4. U. O. B. <u>G. B. B. B. B. B.</u>
DATED: APR 0 5 2019	By: ROGER ROSS
	JUDGE OF THE SUPERIOR COURT
	는 이 맛이 모든 사람이 되고 가셨다면서
	25
STIPULATION FOR ENTRY OF	FINAL JUDGMENT AND PERMANENT INJUNCTION

EXHIBIT A

EXHIBIT A - California Facilities

	Store No.	Street Address	City	County	Zip	Open Date	Closed Date
1	1324	2201 S Shore Center	Alameda	Alameda	94501	4/1/09	
2	759	43782 Christy St	Fremont	Alameda	94538	10/7/04	
3	1380	545 Southland Mall	Hayward	Alameda	94545	9/30/09	1/17/15
4	1121	2900 Las Positas Rd	Livermore	Alameda	94551	10/3/07	
5	761	4525 Rosewood Dr	Pleasanton	Alameda	94588	10/7/04	
6	762	15555 E 14th St	San Leandro	Alameda	94578	10/7/04	
7	1031	1505 E 14th of	Chico	Butte	95928	11/9/06	
8	758	5511 Lone Tree Way	Brentwood	Contra Costa	94513	10/7/04	
0.0	20000000	2302 Monument Blvd	2.000.000.000.000.000		94523	10/7/04	
9	978		Pleasant Hill	Contra Costa			
10	1399	1000 Shaw Avenue	Clovis	Fresno	93612	9/29/10	
11	724	175 E Alluvial Ave	Fresno	Fresno	93720	4/16/04	
12	709	3699 W Shaw Ave	Fresno	Fresno	93711	4/16/04	
13	1361	3300 Broadway St	Eureka	Humboldt	95501	9/30/09	
14	1434	808 E. Danenberg Dr	El Centro	Imperial	92243	9/28/11	
15	713	5253 Gosford Rd	Bakersfield	Kern	93311	3/5/04	
16	702	9400 Rosedale Hwy	Bakersfield	Kern	93312	3/5/04	
17	1400	1677 West Lacey Blvd	Hanford	Kings	93230	9/29/10	
18	790	1201 S Fremont Ave	Alhambra	Los Angeles	91803	2/27/05	
19	590	12821 Towne Center Dr	Cerritos	Los Angeles	90703	3/7/03	
20	197	21818 Valley Blvd	City of Industry	Los Angeles	91789	10/6/05	
21	1360	300 Stonewood St.	Downey	Los Angeles	90241	9/30/09	
	595	1225 S Lone Hill Ave	Glendora	Los Angeles	91740	3/7/03	
22						read STM INCOMES NO.	
23	599 633	2229 Foothill Blvd 2650 Carson St	La Verne Lakewood	Los Angeles Los Angeles	91750 90712	3/7/03 3/7/03	
25	1370	504 W Huntington Dr.	Monrovia	Los Angeles	91016	9/30/09	
26	1373	8800 Corbin Ave.	Northridge	Los Angeles	91324	9/30/09	
27	753	39850 Tenth St W	Palmdale	Los Angeles	93551	3/5/04	
28	1376	1799 Hawthorne Blvd	Redondo Beach	Los Angeles	90278	9/30/09	
29	750	7279 N Rosemead Blvd	San Gabriel	Los Angeles	91775	11/14/07	6/19/16
30		19307 Golden Valley Rd	Santa Clarita	Los Angeles	91321	10/1/08	
31		8501 Laurel Canyon Blvd	Sun Valley	Los Angeles	91352	9/30/09	
32		25375 Crenshaw Blvd	Torrance	Los Angeles	90505	3/7/03	
33		24200 Valencia Blvd	Valencia West Uille	Los Angeles	91355	3/7/03 3/7/03	6/19/16
34		6651 N Fallbrook Ave 8739 S Sepulveda Blvd	West Hills Westchester	Los Angeles Los Angeles	91307	9/30/09	0/19/10
36		15602 W Whittwood Lane	Whittier	Los Angeles	90603	9/30/09	
37		5010 Northgate Mall	San Rafael	Marin	94903	9/30/09	
38		437 N Orchard Ave	Ukiah	Mendocino	95482	9/30/09	
39		400 Merced Mall	Merced	Merced	95348	9/30/09	
40		111 Gen Stillwell Dr	Marina	Monterey	93933	10/3/07	
41		1950 N Davis Rd	Salinas	Monterey	93907	4/12/07	
42		1116 First St	Napa	Napa	94559	9/30/09	
43		8191 La Palma Ave	Buena Park	Orange	90620	3/7/03	0/40/40
44 45		10201 Valley View St. 3204 Yorba Linda Blvd	Cypress Fullerton	Orange	90630	9/30/09 9/30/09	6/19/16
46		7777 Edinger Ave Ste 140	Huntington Beach	Orange Orange	92647	3/7/03	
47		9811 Adams Ave.	Huntington Beach	Orange	92646	9/30/09	
48		14101 Jeffrey Rd	Irvine	Orange	92620	3/7/03	
49		1610 W Imperial Hwy	La Habra	Orange	90631	3/7/03	
50	597	27702 Antonio Pkwy	Ladera Ranch	Orange	92694	3/7/03	6/19/16
51		27380 Heather Ridge Rd	Laguna Niguel	Orange	92677	3/7/03	
52		22215 El Paseo	Rancho Santa Margarita	Orange	92688	3/7/03	
53		1351 W 17th St	Santa Ana	Orange	92706	10/7/04	6/19/16
54		12345 Seal Beach Blvd	Seal Beach	Orange	90740	3/7/03	
55		18182 Irvine Blvd	Tustin Verba Linda	Orange	92780	9/30/09	
56 57		23001 Savi Ranch Pkwy 10375 Fairway Dr	Yorba Linda Roseville	Orange Placer	92887 95678	10/7/04 3/5/04	
58		1479 E Second St	Beaumont	Riverside	92223	11/14/07	
59		2620 Cajalco Rd	Corona	Riverside	92881	3/7/03	

EXHIBIT A - California Facilities

	Store No.	Street Address	City	County	Zip	Open Date	Closed Dat
60	593	470 Hidden Valley Pkwy	Corona	Riverside	92879	3/7/03	
61	677	78950 Hwy 11	La Quinta	Riverside	92253	2/27/05	
62	1282	30252 Haun Rd	Menifee	Riverside	92584		
63	1032	12315 Limonite Ave	Mira Loma	Riverside	91752	10/5/06	
64	1125	27200 Eucalyptus Ave	Moreno Valley	Riverside	92555		
65	585	24661 Madison Ave	Murrieta	Riverside	92562	3/7/03	
66	1187	34940 Monterey Ave	Palm Desert	Riverside	92211	10/3/07	
67	603	19260 Van Buren Blvd	Riverside	Riverside	92508		
68	1390	3520 Tyler St.	Riverside	Riverside	92503		
69	606	32085 Redhawk Pkwy	Temecula	Riverside	92592	3/7/03	
70	705	5030 Antelope Rd	Antelope	Sacramento	95843	10/6/05	
71	1363	6135 San Juan Ave	Citrus Heights	Sacramento	95610		
72	695	9650 Bruceville Rd	Elk Grove	Sacramento	95757	3/5/04	
73	663	1013 Riley St	Folsom	Sacramento	95630	3/5/04	
74	1375	11051 Olson Dr	Rancho Cordova	Sacramento	95670	9/30/09	6/19/16
75	1374	1896 Arden Way	Sacramento	Sacramento	95815		
76	683	4700 Natomas Blvd	Sacramento	Sacramento	95834		
77	1159	8810 Calvine Rd	Sacramento	Sacramento	95828	11/14/07	
78	591	4093 Grand Ave	Chino	San Bernardino	91710	3/7/03	
79	602	14960 Summit Ave	Fontana	San Bernardino	92336	3/7/03	
80	601	1051 N Milliken Ave	Ontario	San Bernardino	91764	3/7/03	
81	631	27540 Lugonia Ave	Redlands	San Bernardino	92374	3/7/03	
82	806	825 E Central Ave.	San Bernardino	San Bernardino	92408	7/1/10	
83	855	890 E Mill St	San Bernardino	San Bernardino	92408	12/1/02	
84	607	1923 N Campus Ave	Upland	San Bernardino	91784	2/27/05	
85	1384	233 S. Mountain Ave	Upland	San Bernardino	91786	9/30/09	6/19/16
86	636	14305 Bear Valley Rd	Victorville	San Bernardino	92392	3/7/03	
87	958	1870 Main Ct	Chula Vista	San Diego	91911	10/6/05	
88	726	2325 Otay Lakes Rd	Chula Vista	San Diego	91915	4/16/04	
89	675	2398 Jamacha Rd	El Cajon	San Diego	92019	4/16/04	
90	1415	134 N. El Camino Real	Encinitas	San Diego	92024	9/28/11	
91	700	3410 Marron Rd	Oceanside	San Diego	92056	4/16/04	
92	710	12880 Gregg Ct	Poway	San Diego	92064	4/16/04	
93		3450 College Ave	San Diego	San Diego	92115	9/30/09	
94	1377	5505 Balboa Ave	San Diego	San Diego	92111	9/30/09	
95	12 (12 to 17 (12 to 1	8140 Mira Mesa Blvd	San Diego	San Diego	92126	9/30/09	6/19/16
96		720 Center Dr	San Marcos	San Diego	92069	4/16/04	
97		9412 Mission Gorge Rd	Santee	San Diego	92071	10/7/04	
98		530 W Kettleman Ln	Lodi	San Joaquin	95240	9/30/09	
99		2360 Daniels St	Manteca	San Joaquin	95337	3/2/06	
00		10850 Trinity Pkwy	Stockton	San Joaquin	95219	3/5/04	
01		100 Niblick Rd	Paso Robles	San Luis Obispo	93446	2/27/05	
02		205 Madonna Rd	San Luis Obispo	San Luis Obispo	93405	9/30/09	
03		1200 El Camino Real	Colma	San Mateo	94014	10/7/04	
04		855 Broadway	Millbrae	San Mateo	94030	9/30/09	4/18/14
05		250 Walnut St	Redwood City	San Mateo	94063	9/30/09	
06		2501 South Broadway	Santa Maria	Santa Barbara	93455	10/5/06	
07		525 E Hamilton	Campbell	Santa Clara	95008	4/16/06	
80		6765 Camino Arroyo Dr	Gilroy	Santa Clara	95020	10/7/04	
09		1150 Great Mall Rd	Milpitas	Santa Clara	95035	10/6/05	
10		350 Showers Dr	Mountain View	Santa Clara	94040	9/30/09	
11		2323 McKee Rd	San Jose	Santa Clara	95116	10/7/04	6/19/16
12		890 Blossom Hill Rd	San Jose	Santa Clara	95123	10/7/04	772-777
13		3700 El Camino Real	Santa Clara	Santa Clara	95051	10/7/04	1/26/16
14		895 Browning St	Redding	Shasta	96003	11/14/07	
15		570 Orange Dr	Vacaville	Solano	95687	10/7/04	
16		1190 Admiral Callaghan Ln	Vallejo	Solano	94591	10/7/04	
17		1363 N McDowell Blvd	Petaluma	Sonoma	94954	10/7/04	
18		3746 Airway Dr	Santa Rosa	Sonoma	95404	10/6/05	
19		2225 Plaza Pkwy Ste G	Modesto	Stanislaus	95350	3/5/04	
20		2065 Keystone Pacific Pkwy	Patterson	Stanislaus	95363	6/1/06	
21		2351 Claribel Rd	Riverbank	Stanislaus	95367	10/5/06	
22	715	2751 Countryside Dr	Turlock	Stanislaus	95380	3/5/04	

EXHIBIT A - California Facilities

	Store No.	Street Address	City	County	Zip	Open Date	Closed Date
123		1480 Sunsweet Blvd	Yuba City	Sutter	95993	4/16/06	
124	1403	1275 W. Henderson Ave.	Porterville	Tulare	93257	9/29/10	
125	701	2208 S Mooney Blvd	Visalia	Tulare	93277	4/16/04	
126	1404	13777 Mono Way	Sonora	Tuolumne	95370	9/29/10	
127	600	872 New Los Angeles Ave	Moorpark	Ventura	93021	3/7/03	
128	605	2950 Tapo Canyon Rd	Simi Valley	Ventura	93065	3/7/03	
129	630	1960 Newbury Park	Thousand Oaks	Ventura	91320	3/5/04	
130	583	4950 Telephone Rd	Ventura	Ventura	93003	3/7/03	

EXHIBIT B-1

EXHIBIT B-1 -- CIVIL PENALTIES

	Bus	ril Penalties - siness and fessions	200	il Penalties -		I of Civil Ities Paid to
Agency	§17200 Penalties		20.8 Men.	[- 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10	Agen	су
Alameda Co. District Attorney's Office	\$	30,000.00	\$	20,000.00	\$	50,000.00
Butte Co. District Attorney's Office	\$	1,500.00	\$	47:	\$	1,500.00
Contra Costa Co. District Attorney's Office ^{1 (see below)}	\$	12,000.00	\$		\$	12,000.00
Fresno Co. District Attorney's Office	\$	9,000.00	\$	-	\$	9,000.00
Humboldt Co. District Attorney's Office	\$	1,500.00	\$	-	\$	1,500.00
mperial Co. District Attorney's Office	\$	1,500.00	\$	4	\$	1,500.00
Kern Co. District Attorney's Office	\$	6,000.00	\$	-	\$	6,000.00
Kings Co. District Attorney's Office	\$	1,500.00	\$	7	\$	1,500.00
os Angeles Co. District Attorney's Office	\$	24,000.00	\$	16,000.00	\$	40,000.00
Marin Co. District Attorney's Office	\$	3,000.00	\$	-	\$	3,000.00
Mendocino Co. District Attorney's Office	\$	1,500.00	\$	-	\$	1,500.00
Merced Co. District Attorney's Office	\$	1,500.00	\$	(-)	\$	1,500.00
Monterey Co. District Attorney's Office	\$	72,000.00	\$	-	\$	72,000.00
Napa Co. District Attorney's Office	\$	3,000.00	\$	-	\$	3,000.00
Orange Co. District Attorney's Office	\$	25,000.00	\$	16,000.00	\$	41,000.00
Placer Co. District Attorney's Office ^{2 (see below)}	\$	3,000.00	\$: * :	\$	3,000.00
Riverside Co. District Attorney's Office ^{3 (see below)}	\$	25,000.00	\$	16,000.00	\$	41,000.00
Sacramento Co. District Attorney's Office ^{4 (see below)}	\$	41,000.00	\$		\$	41,000.00
San Bernardino Co. District Attorney's Office						
SWCPP Penalties) ^{5 (see below)}	\$	31,000.00	\$	22,000.00	\$	53,000.00
San Diego Co. District Attorney's Office	\$	22,000.00	\$	\ W	\$	22,000.00
San Joaquin Co. District Attorney's Office (see below)	\$	68.500.00	\$	44,500.00	\$	113,000.00
San Luis Obispo Co. District Attorney's Office	\$	6,000.00	\$	-	\$	6,000.00
San Mateo Co. District Attorney's Office	\$	7,000.00	\$	143	\$	7,000.00
Santa Barbara Co. District Attorney's Office	\$	3,000.00	\$	(#)	\$	3,000.00
Santa Clara Co. District Attorney's Office	\$	17,000.00	\$	-	\$	17,000.00
Shasta Co. District Attorney's Office	\$	3,000.00	\$	-	\$	3,000.00
Solano Co. District Attorney's Office ^{7 (see below)}	\$	6,000.00	\$	-	\$	6,000.00
Sonoma Co. District Attorney's Office	\$	6,000.00	\$		\$	6,000.00
Stanislaus Co. District Attorney's Office	\$	12,000.00	\$		\$	12,000.00
Sutter Co. District Attorney's Office	\$	1,500.00	\$	7.	\$	1,500.00
ulare Co. District Attorney's Office	\$	6,000.00	\$	2	\$	6,000.00
uolumne Co. District Attorney's Office	\$	1,500.00	\$	+:	\$	1,500.00
/entura Co. District Attorney's Office	\$	12,000.00	\$	-	\$	12,000.00
olo Co. District Attorney's Office	\$	54,000.00			\$	54,000.00

^{1 -} CONTRA COSTA - Court further orders that these proceeds are designated as non-supplanting funds to be used by the Contra Costa County District Attorney's Office for the investigation and prosecution of cases pursuant to Business and Professions Codes section 17200, et seq.

Health and Safety Code section 25515.2: "Defendant" shall pay \$16,000.00 as civil penalties pursuant to section 25515.2 of the Health and Safety Code to the Riverside County District Attorney's Office. Said sum will be paid in the form of a check made payable to the District Attorney, County of Riverside.

^{2 -} PLACER: The money paid to the Placer District Attorney as penalties pursuant to Business and Professions Code section 17206, shall be for the sole and exclusive use of the District Attorney to augment the enforcement of consumer and environmental protection laws and in no manner shall supplant or cause any reduction of any portion of the District Attorney's budget.

^{3 -} RIVERSIDE Penalties: Business and Professions Code §17200: "Defendant" shall pay \$25,000.00 to the Riverside County District Attorney's Office as civil penalties for violations of Business and Professions Code section 17200. Pursuant to Business and Professions Code section 17206(b), said sum will be paid in the form of a check made payable to the District Attorney, County of Riverside; sums to be distributed as follows: 100 percent will be deposited into the consumer protection prosecution account in the General Fund of Riverside County.

EXHIBIT B-1 -- CIVIL PENALTIES

- 4 SACRAMENTO: The money paid to the Sacramento District Attorney as penalties pursuant to Business and Professions Code section 17206, shall be for the sole and exclusive use of the District Attorney to augment the enforcement of consumer and environmental protection laws and in no manner shall supplant or cause any reduction of any portion of the District Attorney's budget.
- 5 SAN BERNARDINO: \$12,000.00 of the civil penalites shall be allocated for SWCPP penalties and distributed as such.
- 6 SAN JOAQUIN: Business and Professions Code section 17200 Penalties shall be paid to the "Treasurer of San Joaquin County". Penalties allocated to Health and Safety Code section 25500 shall be paid to the "San Joaquin Co. District Attorney's Office".
- 7 SOLANO: Court further orders that these proceeds are designated as non-supplanting funds to be used by the Solano County District Attorney's Office only for the investigation and prosecution of environmental protection cases including, without limitation, those cases that can potentially be brought as unfair competition actions pursuant to Business and Professions Code section 17200, et seq.

Pursuant to Government Code section 26506, any civil penalties recovered in a civil action brought jointly in the name of the People of the State of California by the Attorney General and any combination of one or more district attorneys or city attorneys shall be paid as approved by the Court.

EXHIBIT B-2

EXHIBIT B-2 -- CIVIL PENALTIES

			To	tal of Civil
	Civi	l Penalties -	100	nalties Paid
		Ith and Safety	-	
Agency	100000000000000000000000000000000000000			encies
Alameda Co City of San Leandro Environmental Services	1\$	750.00	\$	750.00
Alameda Co Fremont City Fire Dept., Haz Mat Unit	\$	750.00	\$	750.00
Alameda Co Hayward City Fire Dept.	\$	750.00	\$	750.00
Alameda Co Livermore/Pleasanton Fire Dept., Haz Mat Unit (See below)	\$	1,500.00	\$	1,500.00
Alameda CoEnvironmental Health Services	\$	750.00	\$	750.00
Contra Costa Co Health Services Dept., Hazardous Materials Program	\$	1,500.00	\$	1,500,00
Department of Toxic Substances Control ^{2 (see below)}	\$	9,000.00	\$	9,000.00
Fresno Co Community Health Dept., Environmental Health Division	\$	2,250.00	\$	2,250.00
Humboldt Co Div. of Environmental Health	\$	750.00	\$	750.00
Imperial Co CUPA - DTSC ³ (see below)	\$	750.00	\$	750.00
Kern Co Bakersfield City Fire Department	\$		\$	
Kern Co Bakerstield City Fire Department Kings Co Environmental Health Services	\$	1,500.00 750.00	\$	1,500.00 750.00
Los Angeles Co Fire Health Hazmat	\$	14,250.00	\$	14,250.00
Marin Co Dept. of Public Works, Waste Mngt. Div.	\$	750.00	\$	750.00
Mendocino Co Environmental Health Division	\$	750.00	\$	750.00
Merced Co Division of Environmental Health	\$	750.00	\$	750.00
Monterey Co Environmental Health Division	\$	1,500.00	\$	1,500,00
Napa Co Dept. of Env. Mngt.	\$	750.00	\$	750.00
Orange Co Environmental Health ^{4 (see below)}	\$	13,500.00	\$	13,500.00
Placer Co Roseville City Fire Dept.	\$	750.00	\$	750.00
Riverside Co Dept. of Health, Hazardous Materials Division	\$	9,000.00	\$	9,000.00
Sacramento Co Environmental Mgmt. Dept.	\$	13,000.00	\$	13,000.00
San Bernardino Co Fire Haz Mat	\$	7,750.00	\$	7.750.00
San Diego Co Dept. of Environmental Health	\$	11,250.00	\$	11,250.00
San Joaquin Co Environmental Health Department	\$	11,000.00	\$	11,000.00
San Luis Obispo Co Environmental Health Services	\$	1,500.00	\$	1,500.00
San Mateo Co Environmental Health Division	\$	2,250.00	\$	2,250.00
Santa Barbara Co Environmental Health Services	\$	750.00	\$	750.00
Santa Clara Co Dept. of Environmental Health, Haz Mat Compliance Div.	\$	3,750.00	\$	3,750.00
Santa Clara Co City of Gilroy Fire Prevention	\$	750.00	\$	750.00
Santa Clara Co City of Santa Clara Fire Department	\$	750.00	\$	750.00
Shasta Co Environmental Health Divison	\$	750.00	\$	750.00
Solano Co Environmental Health Services	\$	1,500.00	\$	1,500.00
Sonoma Co Fire & Emergency Services Dept.	\$	750.00	\$	750.00
Sonoma Co Petaluma City Fire Department	\$	750.00	\$	750.00
Stanislaus Co Dept. of Environmental Resources	\$	3,000.00	\$	3,000.00
Sutter Co Environmental Health Services	\$	750.00	\$	750.00
Tulare Co Environmental Health	\$	1,500.00	\$	1,500.00
Tuolumne Co Environmental Health	\$	750.00	\$	750.00
Ventura Co Environmental Health Division	\$	3,000.00	\$	3,000.00
Yolo Co Environmental Health	\$	6,000.00	\$	6,000.00
Total - Agency Civil Penalties	\$	134,500.00	\$	134,500.00

^{1 -} ALAMEDA: The agency requested that the check be made payable to the Livermore Pleasanton Fire Department "Hazardous Materials Program Training and Resource Trust Account".

^{2 -} DTSC: Defendants shall pay Plaintiff the sum of \$9,000.00 as and for civil penalties, pursuant to Health and Safety Code section 25192. Said payment shall be made payable to the Department of Toxic Substances Control-Toxic Substance Control Account.

^{3 -} IMPERIAL: \$750.00 of these §25550 penalties are for the CUPA of Imperial County (DTSC) to pay the CUPA civil penalties in settlement of alleged Kohl's Department Stores, Inc. Hazardous Materials violations at the Imperial County Kohl's Department Stores locations.

EXHIBIT B-2 -- CIVIL PENALTIES

4 - ORANGE: \$13,500.00 is restricted to the Orange County Health Care Agency/Environmental Health and is to be placed in a special revenue account. These funds are to be used for the enhancements of the Hazardous Waste Program for special projects and other uses as determined by the Director of Environmental Health. Said payment shall be made in the form of a check made payable to the County of Orange/Auditor-Controller.

EXHIBIT C

EXHIBIT C – SUPPLEMENTAL ENVIRONMENTAL PROJECTS

1. Craig Thompson Environmental Protection Prosecution Fund.

KOHL'S DEPARTMENT STORES, INC. (Defendant) shall provide the amount of Twenty Eight Thousand, One Hundred Twenty Five Dollars (\$28,125.00) payable to the Craig Thompson Environmental Protection Prosecution Fund ("CTEPP Fund") to be used for purposes consistent with the mission of the CTEPP Fund.

2. California CUPA Forum.

DEFENDANT shall provide the amount of Twenty Eight Thousand, One Hundred Twenty Five Dollars (\$28,125.00) payable to CUPA Forum Environmental Protection Trust Fund, which is administered and to be used by the California Certified Unified Program Agency (CUPA) Forum, for purposes consistent with the mission of the Trust for the CUPA Forum. Up to Twenty Eight Thousand, One Hundred Twenty Five Dollars (\$28,125.00) of these monies may be used by the CUPA Forum to pay for meeting room expenses and/or to reimburse attendees for travel expenses incurred attending the RCRA Unraveled Courses sponsored by the CUPA Forum. These attendees may include non-CUPA members who work environmental enforcement with California CUPA cases, including, but not limited to: prosecutors, paralegals, and investigators.

3. California District Attorneys Association Environmental Project.

DEFENDANT shall provide the amount of Twenty Eight Thousand, One Hundred Twenty Five Dollars (\$28,125.00) payable to the California District Attorneys Association - Environmental Project to be used by the Environmental Project for the purpose of providing training consistent with the objectives of the Environmental Project.

- 4. California Advanced Environmental Criminal Training Program (Cal-AECTP) in conjunction with the California Hazardous Material Investigators Association (CHMIA).

 DEFENDANT shall provide the amount of Twenty Eight Thousand, One Hundred Twenty Five Dollars (\$28,125.00) payable to California Hazardous Materials Investigators Association ("CHMIA") to be used by CHMIA to fund full scholarships for attendance and participation in their Advanced Environmental Criminal Training Program. Each of these scholarships shall cover conference registration, travel, food, lodging and incidentals.
- * If the payment provided by the DEFENDANT, is accepted by a designated entity, the designated entity shall provide, until the exhaustion of the funds, annual letter reports describing the specific use of the funds. The annual letter reports shall be submitted to the Plaintiff's representatives identified in this Stipulation for Entry of Final Judgment and Permanent Injunction.

EXHIBIT D-1

EXHIBIT D-1 -- COSTS

Agency	Total	Costs to Agency
Alameda Co. District Attorney's Office	\$	17,723.76
Contra Costa Co. District Attorney's Office	\$	3,800.00
Fresno Co. District Attorney's Office	\$	1,500.00
Kern Co. District Attorney's Office	\$	750.00
Los Angeles City Attorney's Office	\$	750.00
Los Angeles Co. District Attorney's Office	\$	750.00
Marin Co. District Attorney's Office	\$	750.00
Monterey Co. District Attorney's Office	\$	24,500.00
Napa Co. District Attorney's Office	\$	750.00
Orange Co. District Attorney's Office	\$	5,200.00
Placer Co. District Attorney's Office ^{1 (see below)}	\$	750.00
Riverside Co. District Attorney's Office ^{2 (see below)}	\$	8,650.00
Sacramento Co. District Attorney's Office (see below)	\$	10,750.00
San Bernardino Co. District Attorney's Office		
(SWCPP Costs) ^{4 (see below)}	\$	5,100.00
San Diego City Attorney's Office	\$	750.00
San Diego Co. District Attorney's Office	\$	6,575.00
San Joaquin Co. District Attorney's Office ^{5 (see below)}	\$	69,850.00
San Luis Obispo Co. District Attorney's Office	\$	750.00
San Mateo Co. District Attorney's Office	\$	750.00
Santa Barbara Co. District Attorney's Office	\$	750.00
Santa Clara Co. District Attorney's Office	\$	750.00
Shasta Co. District Attorney's Office	\$	750.00
Solano Co. District Attorney's Office	\$	750.00
Sonoma Co. District Attorney's Office	\$	750.00
Stanislaus Co. District Attorney's Office	\$	750.00
Tulare Co. District Attorney's Office	\$	750.00
Ventura Co. District Attorney's Office	\$	750.00
Yolo Co. District Attorney's Office ^{6 (see below)}	\$	63,977.24
Total - Prosecutor Costs	\$	230,376.00

- 1 PLACER: The money paid to the Placer District Attorney as costs, pursuant to this stipulation, shall be for the sole and exclusive use of the District Attorney as reimbursement for costs expended in the enforcement of the consumer protection and environmental laws and in no manner shall supplant or cause any reduction of any portion of the District Attorney's budget.
- 2 RIVERSIDE Costs: "Defendant" shall pay \$8,650.00 as costs to the Riverside County District Attorney's Office. Said sum will be paid in the form of a check made payable to the District Attorney, County of Riverside.
- 3 SACRAMENTO: The money paid to the Sacramento District Attorney as costs, pursuant to this stipulation, shall be for the sole and exclusive use of the District Attorney as reimbursement for costs expended in the enforcement of the consumer protection and environmental laws and in no manner shall supplant or cause any reduction of any portion of the District Attorney's budget.
- 4 SAN BERNARDINO: \$1,500.00 of the costs are to be allocated for SWCPP costs and distributed as such.
- 5 SAN JOAQUIN: The money paid to the San Joaquin District Attorney as costs, pursuant to this stipulation, shall be for the sole and exclusive use of the District Attorney as reimbursement for costs expended in the enforcement of the consumer protection and environmental laws and in no manner shall supplant or cause any reduction of any portion of the District Attorney's budget.

EXHIBIT D-1 -- COSTS

6 - YOLO: This money shall be paid in two separate checks: (1) one check addressed to the Yolo County District Attorney's Office in the amount of \$33,977.24, and (2) one check addressed to the Craig Thompson Environmental Protection Prosecution Fund in the amount of \$30,000.00.

EXHIBIT D-2

EXHIBIT D-2 -- COSTS

Agency	Total Costs to Agency	
Contra Costa Co Health Services Dept., Hazardous Materials Program	\$	600.00
Department of Toxic Substance Control	\$	3,800.00
Los Angeles Co Fire Health Hazmat	\$	3,900.00
Orange Co Environmental Health ^{1 (see below)}	\$	450.00
Riverside Co Dept. of Health, Hazardous Materials Division	\$	3,000.00
Sacramento Co Environmental Mgmt. Dept.	\$	4,800.00
San Bernardino Co Fire Haz Mat	\$	1,200.00
San Diego Co Dept. of Environmental Health	\$	600.00
San Joaquin Co Environmental Health Department	\$	8,850.00
Santa Barbara Co Environmental Health Services	\$	300.00
Stanislaus Co Dept. of Environmental Resources	\$	900.00
Yolo Co Environmental Health	\$	1,224.00
Total - Agency Costs	\$	29,624.00

^{1 -} ORANGE: \$450.00 is restricted to the Orange County Health Care Agency/Environmental Health and is to be placed in a special revenue account. These funds are to be used for the enhancements of the Hazardous Waste Program for special projects and other uses as determined by the Director of Environmental Health. Said payment shall be made in the form of a check made payable to the County of Orange/Auditor-Controller.