1 DIANA BECTON District Attorney, Contra Costa County 2 Stacey N. Grassini (SBN 154937) 2022 FEB 28 A 8 20 Senior Deputy District Attorney 3 **Environmental Protection Unit** 900 Ward Street, 4th Floor Martinez, CA 94553-1708 5 Telephone: (925) 957-8604 Facsimile: (925) 646-4683 6 7 Additional Counsel Listed as Signatories 8 Attorneys for Plaintiff, People of the State of California 9 SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA 10 COUNTY OF CONTRA COSTA 11 C22-00386 THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA, Case No. 12 Plaintiff. STIPULATION FOR ENTRY OF FINAL 13 JUDGMENT AND INJUNCTION, AND V. [PROPOSED] ORDER OF FINAL 14 JUDGMENT AND INJUNCTION 15 COPART, INC., a Delaware Corporation, COPART-Exempt from fees per Gov. Code, § 6103 16 DALLAS, INC., a California Corporation, and COPART-HOUSTON, INC., a 17 California Corporation, 18 Defendants. 19 20 Plaintiff, THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA, generally appearing through its 21 attorneys, Diana Becton, District Attorney of Contra Costa County; Nancy E. O'Malley, District 22 Attorney of Alameda County; Lisa A. Smittcamp, District Attorney of Fresno County; Cynthia 23 Zimmer, District Attorney of Kern County; Mike Feuer, City Attorney of the city of Los Angeles; 24 George Gascón, District Attorney of Los Angeles County; Allison Haley, District Attorney of Napa 25 County; Michael A. Hestrin, District Attorney of Riverside County; Anne Marie Schubert, District 26 Attorney of Sacramento County; Jason Anderson, District Attorney of San Bernardino County; Mara 27 W. Elliot; City Attorney of the city of San Diego; Summer Stephan, District Attorney of San Diego 28

2.

SETTLEMENT OF DISPUTED CLAIMS

The Parties have stipulated and consented to the entry of this Final Judgment prior to the taking of any proof, and without trial or adjudication of facts or law herein. The Court finds that this Final Judgment is a fair and reasonable resolution of the Covered Matters (as defined in Paragraph 6 below), and pursuant to agreement of the Parties, the right to appeal is waived.

## 3. **DEFINITIONS**

Except where otherwise expressly defined in this Final Judgment, all terms shall be interpreted consistent with Health and Safety Code sections 25100 et seq., (Hazardous Waste Control Law), Sections 25500 et seq., (Hazardous Materials Release Response Plans and Inventory Law), Business and Professions Code sections 17200 et seq., (Unfair Practices Act), and the regulations promulgated under these sections.

"Certified Unified Program Agency" or "CUPA" is defined in Health and Safety Code sections 25123.7(b) and 25404(a) and means the agency certified by the California Environmental Protection Agency pursuant to the requirements of Chapter 6.11 of Division 20 of the Health and Safety Code, and Title 27 of the California Code of Regulations, to implement and enforce certain state environmental programs requirements specified in Health and Safety Code section 25404(c)(1).

"Facility or Facilities" means the vehicle processing and storage locations within the State of California listed in **Exhibit A** that Defendants currently own or operate, or formerly owned or operated, within the State of California that Defendants own or operate subsequent to the effective date of this Final Judgment until termination of the injunctive provisions of this Final Judgment.

"Participating Agency" or "PA" is defined in Health and Safety Code section 25404(a) and means a state or local agency that has been designated by the CUPA, pursuant to a written agreement, to implement and enforce one or more state environmental program elements specified in Health and Safety Code section 25404(c)(1).

## 4. INJUNCTIVE RELIEF

## 4.1. Applicability

The provisions of this injunction are applicable to Defendants and their respective successors and assigns, and to the following and entities with notice of this injunction: Defendant's officers,

directors, employees, and agents acting in concert with Defendants.

## 4.2. General Injunctive Provision

Pursuant to the provisions of Health and Safety Code sections 25181, 25184, 25515.6, and 25515.8, and Business and Professions Code section 17203, Defendants are enjoined from violating Chapters 6.5 and 6.95 of Division 20 of the California Health and Safety Code, Health and Safety Code section 117600 *et seq.*, and the regulations promulgated under these chapters, and Business and Professions Code section 17200. Notwithstanding any other provision in this Final Judgment, nothing in this Final Judgment shall relieve Defendants from prospectively complying with any and all applicable laws and regulations.

## 4.3. Specific Injunctive Provisions

Pursuant to Health and Safety Code sections 25181, 25184, 25515.6, and 25515.8, and Business and Professions Code section 17203, Defendants are enjoined, restrained, and prohibited from doing any of the following:

- 4.3.a. Disposing, or causing the disposal, of hazardous waste at a point not authorized by law, in violation of Health and Safety Code sections 25189 or 25189.2, including, but not limited to, the disposal of hazardous waste into any trash container, dumpster, or compactor at the Facilities, or causing the disposal of hazardous waste at a transfer station or landfill that is not authorized to accept hazardous waste:
- 4.3.b. Transporting, transferring custody of, or causing to be transported in California any hazardous waste unless the transporter is registered to transport hazardous waste, as required by Health and Safety Code section 25163;
- 4.3.c. Transporting, or causing to be transported any hazardous waste to an unauthorized location in California, in violation of Health and Safety Code section 25189.5;
- 4.3.d. Failing to determine if a waste generated at the Facilities is a hazardous waste, as required by California Code of Regulations, title 22, sections 66262.11 and 66260.200(c);
- 4.3.e. Failing to properly mark, label, and store containers and tanks of hazardous waste at the Facilities, as required by California Code of Regulations, title 22, section 66262.34;
  - 4.3.f. Failing to properly use and complete a uniform hazardous waste manifest prior to

transportation of hazardous waste from the Facilities, as required by Health and Safety Code section 25160;

- 4.3.g. Failing to timely file with the Department of Toxic Substances Control ("DTSC") a hazardous waste manifest for all hazardous waste that is transported, or submitted for transportation, for offsite handling, treatment, storage, disposal, or any combination thereof, from any Facility, as required by Health and Safety Code section 25160(b)(3) and California Code of Regulations, title 22, section 66262.23:
- 4.3.h. Failing to contact the owner or operator of a designated facility that was to receive hazardous waste from Defendant to determine the status of the hazardous waste, in the event Defendant has not received a copy of the manifest signed by all transporters and the facility operator within thirty-five (35) days of the date the waste was accepted by the initial transporter, as required by Health and Safety Code section 25160(b)(3) and California Code of Regulations, title 22, section 66262.42;
- 4.3.i. Failing to timely notify the DTSC by filing an exception report concerning a treatment, storage, or disposal facility's failure to return any executed manifest, as required by Health and Safety Code section 25160(b)(3) and California Code of Regulations, title 22, section 66262.42;
- 4.3.j. Failing to use proper consolidated manifesting procedures for each shipment of hazardous waste that meets the requirements for consolidated manifesting procedures, as required by Health and Safety Code sections 25160.2 and 25160.23;
- 4.3.k. Failing to maintain copies of uniform hazardous waste manifests and consolidated manifests for three (3) years, as required by Health and Safety Code section 25160.2(b)(3) and California Code of Regulations, title 22, section 66262.40;
- 4.3.1. Failing to properly close and maintain hazardous waste containers, as required by California Code of Regulations, title 22, section 66265.173;
- 4.3.m. Failing to segregate incompatible hazardous wastes, as required by California Code of Regulations, title 22, section 66265.177;
- 4.3.n. Failing to conduct inspections of hazardous waste storage areas, at least weekly, at the Facilities, as required by California Code of Regulations, title 22, section 66265.174;

- 4.3.o. Failing to comply with employee training and record-keeping requirements, pertaining to the handling of hazardous waste, as required by California Code of Regulations, title 22, section 66265.16;
- 4.3.p. Failing to properly manage universal waste, including, but not limited to non-empty aerosol cans within the meaning of Health and Safety Code section 25201.16, at the Facilities, as required by California Code of Regulations, title 22, sections 66273.1 *et seq.*; or in the alternative, failing to manage such waste as hazardous waste in violation of Chapter 6.5 and its implementing regulations in California Code of Regulations, title 22;
- 4.3.q. Failing to keep a record of each shipment of universal waste sent from the Facilities, as required by California Code of Regulations, title 22, section 66273.39;
- 4.3.r. Failing to establish, implement, maintain, update, or submit, as required by Chapter 6.95 of Division 20 of the Health and Safety Code, a hazardous materials business plan ("HMBP"), for each Facility, meeting the requirements listed in Health and Safety Code sections 25505 and California Code of Regulations, title 19, section 2650 et seq.;
- 4.3.s. Failing to immediately report upon discovery any release or threatened release of any hazardous material at any Facility, as required by Health and Safety Code section 25510 and California Code of Regulations, title 19, section 2631 *et seq.*;
- 4.3.t. Failing to implement, maintain, and comply with an employee training program as required by Health and Safety Code section 25505(a)(4), and California Code of Regulations, title 19, section 2659, including, but not limited to, hazardous materials handling, business and area plans, and safety procedures in the event of a release or threatened release of a hazardous material.
- 4.3.u. Failing to comply with any applicable storage disposal requirements under the California Medical Waste Management Act, Health and Safety Code section 117600 et seq., at the Facilities, including but not limited to any requirements for disposal of regulated pharmaceutical waste as defined in Health and Safety Code section 117690, as required by Health and Safety Code sections 117915 and 117918.

## 4.4. Compliance Assurance Program

Pursuant to the provisions of Health and Safety Code sections 25181, 25184, 25515.6, and 25515.8, and Business and Professions Code section 17203, and to ensure compliance with Paragraphs 4.2 and 4.3 above, Defendants shall implement the following Compliance Assurance Programs:

- 4.4.a. **Dumpster Audit Program**: Defendants shall, for a period of three (3) years commencing on the effective date of this Final Judgment, conduct, on an annual basis, independent third-party audits of dumpsters and compactors at two of the Facilities then-currently owned or operated by Defendants. Defendants shall provide notice to the People's representatives as set forth in **Exhibit B** at least twenty court days prior to any waste audit contemplated per this paragraph to allow the People the option to send an observer. Defendants or the third-party auditor shall not provide advance notice to any Facility being audited. The People shall have the right to modify the list of facilities to be audited upon their determination that the list is not representative.
- 4.4.a.1. In the event any independent third-party audit finds waste in violation of California Health and Safety Code Chapters 6.5 and/or 6.95 of Division 20 of the California Health and Safety Code, and the regulations promulgated under these chapters, and Health and Safety Code section 117600, Defendants shall within thirty (30) days of the finding, provide a written reminder to every manager and employee responsible for managing such wastes at the Facility where the violation was found of their obligations under the law and this Final Judgment.
- 4.4.a.2. In the event the independent third-party audit conducted in any calendar year finds any of the Facilities inspected to have one or more violations described in paragraph 4.2 and/or 4.3 above, Defendants shall, within ninety (90) days of a written request by the People, require every California Regional Manager, District Manager, Facility Manager, and employee whose job responsibilities include management of hazardous waste and/or medical waste, to complete a refresher training program on compliance with California hazardous waste, hazardous materials and medical waste laws.
- 4.4.a.3. Defendants shall identify and retain the independent third-party auditor at least ninety (90) days prior to the audits required by paragraph 4.4.a.and shall serve each person

listed in **Exhibit B**, attached, with a statement identifying the name, address and telephone number of the independent third-party auditor.

4.4.a.4. The independent third-party auditor shall prepare and serve each person listed in **Exhibit B** with a detailed summary of its findings including the audit protocols, Facility location, persons present, photos and a report of all of items discovered in the audit that are prohibited by law and this Final Judgment from being placed into the dumpster or compactor, within ninety (90) days following each dumpster or compactor waste audit. The service required by this paragraph may be made by email.

## 4.4.b. Training at California Facilities

- 4.4.b.1. Defendants shall conduct training at the Facilities to ensure compliance with Chapters 6.5 and 6.95 of Division 20 of the Health and Safety Code and Health and Safety Code section 117600 *et seq.*, and the regulations promulgated under these chapters. Defendants shall also ensure training is provided to each of its employees who generates, stores, handles, or manages hazardous waste or medical waste, on each waste management requirement provided for in the Code sections listed in paragraphs 4.3.a through 4.3.w, inclusive, in addition to any other training required by law or by this Final Judgment.
- 4.4.b.2. The training described in paragraphs 4.4.b.1 above shall occur not less than two (2) times per calendar year for a period of 3 years commencing on the effective date of this Final Judgment, notwithstanding any law or regulation that would otherwise require less frequent training.
- 4.4.b.3. For each training specified in paragraph 4.4.b.1, Defendants shall maintain documentation identifying the person providing the training, the location where the training was conducted, the name of each employee attending the training, the date of the training, and the employee's signature acknowledging attendance at the training. Alternatively, Defendants may provide training via a computer-based system, in which case it shall maintain electronic data identifying the name of each employee attending the training, the date of the training, and the employee's electronic acknowledgement of training attendance.

4.4.b.4. Defendants shall maintain a copy of each employee's training documentation and records described in paragraph 4.4.b.3 at each Facility where that employee provides any labor or services. Such records may be maintained remotely if retrievable electronically upon request.

4.4.b.c.5 The injunctive provisions in paragraphs 4.4.b.1 through 4.4.b.4, inclusive, shall terminate three (3) years after the effective date of this Final Judgment.

# 5. CIVIL PENALTIES, SUPPLEMENTAL ENVIRONMENTAL PROJECTS, AND COSTS

## 5.1. Civil Penalties

Within twenty-five (25) calendar days after entry of this Final Judgment, Defendants shall collectively pay SIX HUNDRED FIFTY THOUSAND DOLLARS (\$650,000) as civil penalties pursuant to Health and Safety Code sections 25189 and 25515, and Business and Professions Code section 17206, to be distributed to the prosecuting/regulatory agencies identified in and in accordance with the terms of **Exhibits C-1 and C-2**, attached.

## 5.2. Reimbursement of Costs of Investigation and Enforcement

Within twenty-five (25) calendar days after entry of this Final Judgment, Defendants shall collectively pay ONE HUNDRED FIFTY THOUSAND DOLLARS (\$150,000.00) for reimbursement of attorney's fees, costs of investigation, and other costs of enforcement, to the entities identified in, and in accordance with the terms of, **Exhibits D-1 and D-2**, attached.

## 5.3. Payments and Expenditures

The payment of all civil penalties, reimbursement of cost payments and other expenditures set forth in paragraphs 5.1, 5.2, and 5.3, above, shall be made by checks payable as provided in **Exhibits C-1, C-2, D-1 and D-2**, and delivered to the District Attorney's Office Contra Costa County, Attention: Stacey Grassini, Senior Deputy District Attorney, for distribution pursuant to the terms of this Final Judgment.

## 6. MATTERS COVERED BY THIS FINAL JUDGMENT

**6.1.** This Final Judgment is a final and binding resolution and settlement of all claims, violations, and causes of action arising from the facts, matters and allegations set forth in the

Complaint, against the Defendants, and their successors in interest, and their officers, directors and employees, as to Defendant's Facilities through the date of entry of this Final Judgment and shall be known as "Covered Matters."

- 6.2. Any claim, violation, or cause of action that is not a Covered Matter is a "Reserved Claim." Reserved Claims include, without limitation, any violation that occurs after the filing of this Final Judgment, any claim, violation, or cause of action against Defendants' independent contractors or subcontractors, and separate independent violations arising out of facts, matters or allegations that are not set forth in the Complaint, whether known or unknown. Reserved Claims also include any claims or causes of action against Defendants for performance of cleanup, corrective action, or response action for any actual past or future releases, spills, or disposals of hazardous waste or hazardous substances that were caused or contributed to by Defendants at or from any of Defendants' Facilities.
- 6.3. In any subsequent action that may be brought by the People based on any Reserved Claim, Defendants cannot assert that failing to pursue any Reserved Claim as part of this action constitutes claim-splitting. Any agreement between the Parties to toll the statute of limitations applies to Covered Matters only and does not apply to Reserved Claims.
- 6.4. Any claims by Defendants, civil or administrative, against the People or against any agency of the State of California, or any county or city in the State of California, or any CUPA, Participating Agency or local agency (collectively, "Agencies"), or against any of their officers, employees, representatives, agents, or attorneys, arising out of or related to any Covered Matter are hereby merged into and extinguished by this Final Judgment; provided, however, that if any Agencies initiate claims against Defendants, Defendants retain any and all rights and defenses against such Agencies.

### . EFFECT OF FINAL JUDGMENT

Except as expressly provided in this Final Judgment, nothing in this Final Judgment is intended, nor shall it be construed, to preclude the People or any state, county, city or local agency, department, board, or CUPA, or Participating Agency from exercising its authority under any law, statute, or regulation.

2

3 4

5

6

## 7

8 9

10

### 11

12 13

14

15

## 16 17

18

19

20 21

22

23

## 24

25

26

27

28

### NO WAIVER OF RIGHT TO ENFORCE 8.

The failure of the People to enforce any provision of this Final Judgment shall neither be deemed a waiver of such provision nor in any way affect the validity of this Final Judgment. The failure of the People to enforce any such provision shall not preclude them from later enforcing the same or any other provision of this Final Judgment. Except as expressly provided in this Final Judgment, Defendants retain all defenses to any such later enforcement action.

### INTERPRETATION

This Final Judgment shall be deemed to have been drafted equally by all Parties hereto. Accordingly, any and all rules of construction holding that ambiguity is construed against the drafting party shall not apply to the interpretation of this Final Judgment.

#### INTEGRATION 10.

This Final Judgment constitutes the entire agreement between the Parties and may not be amended or supplemented except as provided for herein. No oral advice, guidance, suggestions, or comments by employees or officials of any Party regarding matters covered in this Final Judgment shall be construed to relieve any Party of its obligations under this Final Judgment. No oral representations have been made or relied upon other than as expressly set forth herein.

### **FUTURE REGULATORY CHANGES** 11.

Nothing in this Final Judgment shall excuse Defendants from meeting any more-stringent requirement that may be imposed by applicable existing law or by any change in the applicable law. To the extent any future statutory or regulatory change makes Defendants' obligations less stringent than those provided for in this Final Judgment, Defendants' compliance with the changed law shall be deemed compliance with this Final Judgment; however, any change in law or regulation shall not reduce or diminish Defendants' obligations to comply with Paragraph 4.4.

### TERMINATION OF COMPLIANCE PROGRAM 12.

Defendants' obligations to engage in a compliance program pursuant to Paragraph 4.4 of this Final Judgment and Permanent Injunction shall terminate three (3) years after the Effective Date of this Final Judgment provided that Defendants first demonstrate they paid all amounts owed in Paragraphs 5.1, 5.2 and 5.3.

3 4

## 5

6 7

8

9

### 10

11

12

## 13

14

15 16

17

## 18

19

## 20

21

22

23 24

## 25

26 27

28

#### 13. **CONTINUING JURISDICTION**

The People and Defendants agree that pursuant to Code of Civil Procedure section 664.6, Court shall retain continuing jurisdiction to enforce the injunctive terms of this Final Judgment and to address any other matters arising out of or regarding this Final Judgment.

### ABILITY TO INSPECT AND COPY RECORDS AND DOCUMENTS 14.

Defendants shall permit any duly authorized representative of the People to inspect and copy records and documents relevant to determine compliance with the terms of this Final Judgment. This paragraph shall not limit the People's authority to access or obtain information, records, and documents pursuant to any other statute or regulation.

#### PAYMENT OF LITIGATION EXPENSES AND FEES 15.

Defendants shall make no request of the People to pay their attorney fees, expert witness fees and costs, or any other costs of litigation or investigation incurred through the date of entry of this Final Judgment.

### 16. **COUNTERPART SIGNATURES**

The stipulation for entry of this Final Judgment may be executed by the Parties in counterparts. For purposes of this Final Judgment, facsimile signatures shall be deemed originals, and the parties agree to exchange original signatures as promptly as possible.

#### 17. **INCORPORATION OF EXHIBITS**

Exhibits "A" through "D-2" are incorporated herein by reference.

#### MODIFICATION OF INJUNCTIVE PROVISIONS 18.

The injunctive provisions of this Final Judgment may be modified only on noticed motion by one of the Parties with approval of the Court, or upon written consent by all of the Parties and the approval of the Court. Termination of the injunctive provisions in Paragraphs 4.2 and 4.3 is covered by Paragraph 19.

### TERMINATION OF INJUNCTIVE PROVISIONS 19.

At any time after this Final Judgment has been in effect for five (5) years, and Defendants have paid and expended all amounts required under the Final Judgment, Defendants may file a noticed motion pursuant to Code of Civil Procedure section 533 and/or Civil Code section 3424, or

1 Defendants may submit a stipulation from the Parties (subject to approval by the Court), requesting 2 that the Court terminate the injunctive provisions in Paragraphs 4.2 and 4.3. After the Final 3 Judgment has been in effect for seven (7) years, and Defendants have paid and expended all amounts 4 required under the Final Judgment, the injunctive provisions in Paragraphs 4.2 and 4.3 will terminate 5 automatically. 6 20. **NOTICE** 7 Unless otherwise specified in this Final Judgment, all notices under this Final Judgment shall 8 be in writing, by both email and mail, and sent to the designated notice recipients in this Paragraph. 9 Any Party receiving actual notice by email may waive receipt of notice by United States mail. Any 10 Party may, by written notice to the other Parties, change its designated notice recipient or notice 11 address. 12 For the People: 13 14 21. EFFECTIVE DATE OF FINAL JUDGMENT 15 This Final Judgment shall become effective upon entry. The Parties need not file a Notice of 16 Entry of Judgment. 17 18 19 20 21 IT IS SO ORDERED. 22 23 By 24 JUDGE OF THE SUPERIOR COURT 25 This document is a correct copy of the original on file in this office. 26 27

28

1		
2	IT IS SO STIPULATED.	
3		
4	FOR THE PEOPLE:	
5		DIANA BECTON, District Attorney County of Contra Costa, State of California
6		County of Contra Costa, State of Camonna
7	DATED: 2-11-22	By: Stack S
8		STACEY N. GRASSINI Senior Deputy District Attorney
9		
10	10	NANCY E. O'MALLEY, District Attorney
11		County of Alameda, State of California
12	DATED:	By:
13		KEVIN WONG Deputy District Attorney
14		Deputy District Attorney
15		LISA A. SMITTCAMP, District Attorney
16		County of Fresno, State of California
17	DATED:	By:
18	4	ADAM KOOK
19		Deputy District Attorney
20	v	CYNTHIA ZIMMER, District Attorney
21		County of Kern, State of California
22	D A TED	
23	DATED:	By:
24		Deputy District Attorney
25	*	MIKE FEUER, City Attorney
26		City of Los Angeles, State of California
27	DATED:	By:
28		JESSICA B. BROWN Supervising City Attorney

1		
2	IT IS SO STIPULATED.	
3		
4	FOR THE PEOPLE:	
5		DIANA BECTON, District Attorney County of Contra Costa, State of California
6		
7	DATED:	By: STACEY N. GRASSINI
8		Senior Deputy District Attorney
9		NANCY E. O'MALLEY, District Attorney
11		County of Alameda, State of California
12	DATED: January 26, 2022	By: 2 2
13	DATED: Garmany 20, 2022	KEVIN WONG
14		Deputy District Attorney
15		LISA A. SMITTCAMP, District Attorney
16		County of Fresno, State of California
17		_
18	DATED:	By: ADAM KOOK
19		Deputy District Attorney
20		CVNTIII A ZIMMED District A 44 commen
21		CYNTHIA ZIMMER, District Attorney County of Kern, State of California
22		
23	DATED:	By:
24		Deputy District Attorney
25		MIKE FEUER, City Attorney
26		City of Los Angeles, State of California
27	DATED:	By: JESSICA B. BROWN
28		Supervising City Attorney
		- 14 -

1		
2	IT IS SO STIPULATED.	
3		*****
4	FOR THE PEOPLE:	
5	TOK THE LEGIEE.	DIANA BECTON, District Attorney
		County of Contra Costa, State of California
6	,	
7	DATED:	By:STACEY N. GRASSINI
8		Senior Deputy District Attorney
9		
10		NANCY E. O'MALLEY, District Attorney County of Alameda, State of California
11		County of Manieua, State of Camornia
12	DATED:	Ву:
13		KEVIN WONG
14		Deputy District Attorney
15		LISA A. SMITTCAMP, District Attorney
16		County of Fresno, State of California
		0 2 1
17	DATED: 1/14/2022	By: Clam Zool
18		ADAM KOOK Deputy District Attorney
19		
20		CYNTHIA ZIMMER, District Attorney
21		County of Kern, State of California
22		
23	DATED:	By: JOHN P. OHANESIAN
24		Deputy District Attorney
25		MIKE FEUER, City Attorney
26		City of Los Angeles, State of California
27	DATED:	By: JESSICA B. BROWN
28		Supervising City Attorney

- 14 –

1		
2	IT IS SO STIPULATED.	
3		
4	FOR THE PEOPLE:	
5		DIANA BECTON, District Attorney County of Contra Costa, State of California
6		
7	DATED:	By:STACEY N. GRASSINI
8		Senior Deputy District Attorney
9		NANCY E. O'MALLEY, District Attorney
		County of Alameda, State of California
11		
12	DATED:	By: KEVIN WONG
13		Deputy District Attorney
14		
15	17.	LISA A. SMITTCAMP, District Attorney
16		County of Fresno, State of California
17	DATED:	By:
18		ADAM KOOK
19		Deputy District Attorney
20		CYNTHIA ZIMMER, District Attorney
21		County of Kern, State of California
22		01 01
23	DATED: 1/14/2022	By: Ohno P. OHANESIAN
24		Deputy District Attorney
25		MIKE FEUER, City Attorney
		City of Los Angeles, State of California
26		
27	DATED:	By:
28		Supervising City Attorney

- 14 -

1		
2	IT IS SO STIPULATED.	
3	A AS SO STIL CENTED.	
4	FOR THE PEOPLE:	
	FOR THE LEOFLE.	DIANA BECTON, District Attorney
5		County of Contra Costa, State of California
6		~
7	DATED:	By: STACEY N. GRASSINI
8	-	Senior Deputy District Attorney
9		
10		NANCY E. O'MALLEY, District Attorney
11		County of Alameda, State of California
12	DATED:	By:
13	BATES.	KEVIN WONG
14		Deputy District Attorney
15	·	LISA A. SMITTCAMP, District Attorney County of Fresno, State of California
16		
17	DATED:	Ву:
18		ADAM KOOK Deputy District Attorney
19		Deputy District Attorney
20		CYNTHIA ZIMMER, District Attorney
21		County of Kern, State of California
22		
23	DATED:	By:
24		Deputy District Attorney
		MIKE FEUER, City Attorney
25		City of Los Angeles, State of California
26		
27	DATED:January 26, 2022	By:
28		JESSICA B. BROWN Supervising City Attorney

		\ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \
1		GEODGE GLOGÓN, BULL
2		GEORGE GASCÓN, District Attorney County of Los Angeles, State of California
3		
4	DATED: <u>2/3/22</u>	By: <u>Danisl</u> Wright DANIEL J. WRIGHT
5	,	DANIEL J. WRIGHT  Deputy District Attorney
6		
7		ALLISON HALEY, District Attorney County of Napa, State of California
8		County of Ivapa, State of Camonia
9	DATED:	By:
10		PATRICK COLLINS
11		Deputy District Attorney
12		MICHAEL A. HESTRIN, District Attorney
		County of Riverside, State of California
13		
14	DATED:	By: LAUREN R. MARTINEAU
15		Deputy District Attorney
16		
17		ANNE MARIE SCHUBERT, District Attorney County of Sacramento, State of California
18		·
19	DATED:	Ву:
20		DOUGLAS WHALEY Supervising Deputy District Attorney
21		
22		JASON ANDERSON, District Attorney
23		County of San Bernardino, State of California
24	DATED:	D <sub>v</sub>
25		By: PAUL LEVERS
26		Deputy District Attorney
27		
28		
1		

	× .	
1		
2		GEORGE GASCON, District Attorney County of Los Angeles, State of California
3		
4	DATED:	By: DANIEL J. WRIGHT
5		Deputy District Attorney
6		*
7		ALLISON HALEY, District Attorney County of Napa, State of California
8	DATED: 1/14/22	0///
9	DATED: 1/11/CC	By: PAIRICK COLLINS
10		Deputy District Attorney
11		
12		MICHAEL A. HESTRIN, District Attorney County of Riverside, State of California
13		•
14	DATED:	By: LAUREN R. MARTINEAU
15		Deputy District Attorney
16		
17		ANNE MARIE SCHUBERT, District Attorney County of Sacramento, State of California
18		county of outstantonto, butto of Camorna
19	DATED:	Ву:
20		DOUGLAS WHALEY Supervising Deputy District Attorney
21		
22		JASON ANDERSON, District Attorney
23	1	County of San Bernardino, State of California
24	DATED:	Ву:
25		PAUL LEVERS Deputy District Attorney
26		Deputy District Attorney
27		
28		*
7.7		

1		
2		GEORGE GASCÓN, District Attorney County of Los Angeles, State of California
3		
4	DATED:	By: DANIEL J. WRIGHT
5		Deputy District Attorney
6		
7		ALLISON HALEY, District Attorney County of Napa, State of California
8		
9	DATED:	By: PATRICK COLLINS
10		Deputy District Attorney
11		
12	A	MICHAEL A. HESTRIN, District Attorney County of Riverside, State of California
13		
14	DATED: February 2, 2022	By: MANDENIE MADERNIE ALL
15		LAUREN R. MARTINEAU Deputy District Attorney
16		
17		ANNE MARIE SCHUBERT, District Attorney County of Sacramento, State of California
18		County of Sacramento, State of Camornia
19	DATED:	By:
20		DOUGLAS WHALEY Supervising Deputy District Attorney
21		Supervising Deputy District Internet
22		JASON ANDERSON, District Attorney
23		County of San Bernardino, State of California
24	DATED:	By:
25		PAUL LEVERS
26		Deputy District Attorney
27		
28	,	

1		
2		GEORGE GASCÓN, District Attorney County of Los Angeles, State of California
3		
4	DATED:	By: DANIEL J. WRIGHT
5		DANIEL J. WRIGHT Deputy District Attorney
6		•
7		ALLISON HALEY, District Attorney County of Napa, State of California
8		County of Ivapa, State of Camornia
9	DATED:	By:
10		By: PATRICK COLLINS Deputy District Attorney
11		Deputy District Attorney
12		MICHAEL A. HESTRIN, District Attorney
13		County of Riverside, State of California
14	DATED:	D <sub>V</sub> .
15	DATED:	By: LAUREN R. MARTINEAU
16		Deputy District Attorney
17		ANNE MARIE SCHUBERT, District Attorney
18		County of Sacramento, State of California
19	1/20/20	
	DATED: //PO/77	By: DOUGLAS WHALEY
20		Supervising Deputy District Attorney
21		
22		JASON ANDERSON, District Attorney County of San Bernardino, State of California
23	DATED.	or ban bornaramo, ban or camonia
24	DATED:	Ву:
25		PAUL LEVERS Deputy District Attorney
26		2 opacy District Execution
27		
28		

1		
		GEORGE GASCON, District Attorney
2		County of Los Angeles, State of California
3		
4	DATED:	By: DANIEL J. WRIGHT
5		
		Deputy District Attorney
6		ALLISON HALEY, District Attorney
7		County of Napa, State of California
8	DATED:	
9		By: PATRICK COLLINS
10		Deputy District Attorney
11		zopus, zioutot i monito,
1		MICHAEL A. HESTRIN, District Attorney
12		County of Riverside, State of California
13		
14	DATED:	By: LAUREN R. MARTINEAU
15		LAUREN R. MARTINEAU  Deputy District Attorney
16		
17		ANNE MARIE SCHUBERT, District Attorney
18		County of Sacramento, State of California
19	DATED:	By:
20		DOUGLAS WHALEY Supervising Deputy District Attorney
21		supervising Dopaty District Intellicy
22		JASON ANDERSON, District Attorney
23		County of San Bernardino, State of California
24	DATED: 2-2-2022	200
25		By: DALIL LEVERS
		PAUL LEVERS Deputy District Attorney
26		
27		
28		

1		MARA W. ELLIOTT, City Attorney City of San Diego, State of California
2		
3	DATED:1/20/2022	By: Julie Rau JULIE RAU
4		GULIE RAU Deputy City Attorney
5		2 spany ony ranomey
6		SUMMER STEPHAN, District Attorney
7		County of San Diego, State of California
8	DATED:	By:
9	DATED.	MIKE MCCANN
10		Deputy District Attorney
11		JEFFREY F. ROSEN, District Attorney
12		County of Santa Clara, State of California
13		
14	DATED:	By:BUD PORTER
15		Supervising Deputy District Attorney
16		
17		STEPHANIE A. BRIDGETT, District Attorney County of Shasta, State of California
18		•
19	DATED:	By: ANAND B. JESRANI
20		ANAND B. JESKANI Deputy District Attorney
21		
22		KRISHNA A. ABRAMS, District Attorney
23		County of Solano, State of California
24	DATED:	By:
25		DIANE NEWMAN
26		Deputy District Attorney
27		
28		
	1	

1		MARA W. ELLIOTT, City Attorney
2		City of San Diego, State of California
3	DATED:	By:
4		JULIE RAU
5		Deputy City Attorney
6		SUMMER STEPHAN, District Attorney
7		County of San Diego, State of California
8	DATED: /-25-22	Marla las
9	DATED: /	By: Mile McCann
10	•	Deputy District Attorney
11		
12		JEFFREY F. ROSEN, District Attorney County of Santa Clara, State of California
13		County of Santa Clara, State of Camomia
14	DATED:	By:
15		BUD PORTER Supervising Deputy District Attorney
16		Supervising Deputy District Attorney
- 1		STEPHANIE A. BRIDGETT, District Attorney
17		County of Shasta, State of California
18	2	
19	DATED:	By:ANAND B. JESRANI
20		Deputy District Attorney
21		
22		KRISHNA A. ABRAMS, District Attorney County of Solano, State of California
23		County of Solano, State of California
24	DATED:	By:
25		DIANE NEWMAN
26		Deputy District Attorney
27		
8		
11		

	I F	
1		MARA W. ELLIOTT, City Attorney City of San Diego, State of California
2		City of San Diego, State of Cantornia
3	DATED:	By: JULIE RAU
4		JULIE RAU Deputy City Attorney
5		
6		SUMMER STEPHAN, District Attorney
7		County of San Diego, State of California
8	DATED.	D
9	DATED:	By: MIKE MCCANN
10		Deputy District Attorney
11		IPPPDEVE BOOKN BLACK
12		JEFFREY F. ROSEN, District Attorney County of Santa Clara, State of California
13	2/2/22	$\mathcal{P}_{1}$
14	DATED: 2/3/22	By:
15	,	BUD PORTER Supervising Deputy District Attorney
16		
17		STEPHANIE A. BRIDGETT, District Attorney County of Shasta, State of California
18		
19	DATED:	By:ANAND B. JESRANI
20		Deputy District Attorney
21		
22	S .	KRISHNA A. ABRAMS, District Attorney County of Solano, State of California
23		or solding, suite of Camorina
24	DATED:	By:
25		DIANE NEWMAN Deputy District Attorney
26		Deputy District Attorney
27		•
28		

1		MARA W. ELLIOTT, City Attorney City of San Diego, State of California
2	,	City of San Diego, State of Camorina
3	DATED:	Ву:
4		JULIE RAU
5		Deputy City Attorney
6		SUMMER STEPHAN, District Attorney
7		County of San Diego, State of California
8		95
9	DATED:	By:
		MIKE MCCANN Deputy District Attorney
10		
11		JEFFREY F. ROSEN, District Attorney
12		County of Santa Clara, State of California
13		
14	DATED:	By:BUD PORTER
15		Supervising Deputy District Attorney
16		
17	×	STEPHANIE A. BRIDGETT, District Attorney County of Shasta, State of California
18		Agla:
19	DATED: 02/01/2022	By: ANAND B. JESRANI
20		Deputy District Attorney
21		
22		KRISHNA A. ABRAMS, District Attorney
23		County of Solano, State of California
24	DATED:	By:
25	DATED:	DIANE NEWMAN
26		Deputy District Attorney
27		
28		

1		MARA W. ELLIOTT, City Attorney
2		City of San Diego, State of California
3	DATED:	By:
4		JULIE RAU
5		Deputy City Attorney
6		SUMMER STEPHAN, District Attorney
7		County of San Diego, State of California
8	D.A. IIIID	The state of the s
9	DATED:	By: MIKE MCCANN
10		Deputy District Attorney
11		JEFFREY F. ROSEN, District Attorney
12		County of Santa Clara, State of California
13		
14	DATED:	By: BUD PORTER
15		Supervising Deputy District Attorney
16		
17		STEPHANIE A. BRIDGETT, District Attorney County of Shasta, State of California
18		
19	DATED:	By:ANAND B. JESRANI
20		Deputy District Attorney
21		
22		KRISHNA A. ABRAMS, District Attorney County of Solano, State of California
23		
24	DATED:	By: Diane Neuman
25		DIANE NEWMAN Deputy District Attorney
26		·
27		
28		

1 2	BIRGIT A. FLADAGER, District Attorney County of Stanislaus, State of California
3	DATED: 2/4/2022 By: Ony Elliott Ne
4	AMY NEUMANN
5	Deputy District Attorney
6	ERIK NASARENKO, District Attorney
7	County of Ventura, State of California
8	DATED.
9	DATED: By: KAREN WOLD
10	Senior Deputy District Attorney
11	
12	FOR THE DEFENDANT:
13	
14	DATED: By:
15	GREG DEPASQUALE
16	Senior Vice President Legal/General Counsel
17	
18	REVIEWED AND APPROVED AS TO FORM AND CONTENT:
19	HOLLAND & KNIGHT LLP, by
20	
21	DATED: By:
22	Attorneys for Defendants
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	_

1 2		BIRGIT A. FLADAGER, District Attorney County of Stanislaus, State of California
3	DATED:	By:
4	DATED.	AMY NEUMANN
5		Deputy District Attorney
6		ERIK NASARENKO, District Attorney
7		County of Ventura, State of California
8	DATED. 01/18/2022	Pour V g
9	DATED:	By: KAREN WOLD
10		Senior Deputy District Attorney
11		
12	FOR THE DEFENDANT:	
13		
14	   DATED:	Ву:
15	DATED.	GREG DEPASQUALE
16		Senior Vice President Legal/General Counsel
17		TODA ( AND GONEDATE
18	REVIEWED AND APPROVED AS TO	FORM AND CONTENT:
19		HOLLAND & KNIGHT LLP, by
20		•
21	DATED:	By:
22		Attorneys for Defendants
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28	500	

1			BIRGIT A. FLADAGER, District Attorney County of Stanislaus, State of California
2			
3	DATED: B	3y: _	AMY NEUMANN
4			AMY NEUMANN Deputy District Attorney
5			
6			ERIK NASARENKO, District Attorney
7		,	County of Ventura, State of California
8	DATED:		By:
9			KAREN WOLD Senior Deputy District Attorney
10	9		Semoi Deputy District Attorney
11			
12	FOR THE DEFENDANT:		
13			
14	DATED: February 8, 2022	E	By: GREG DEPASQUALE  GREG DEPASQUALE
15			GREG DEPASQUALE Senior Vice President Legal/General Counsel
16			Schol Vice i resident Legal/General Counsel
17	DEVIEWED AND ADDROVED AS TO E	ODM	AND CONTENT.
18	REVIEWED AND APPROVED AS TO FO	OKW.	TAND CONTENT:
19		I	HOLLAND & KNIGHT LLP, by
20	9		Luc
21	DATED: February 8, 2022	Е	By: LETITIA MOORE
22			Attorneys for Defendants
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28			

## **EXHIBIT A - COPART FACILITIES**

Number/Name	Facility Type	Street Address	City	Jurisdiction
Copart Yard 3-Hayward	Yard	1964 Sabre Street	Hayward	Alameda
Overflow storage	Storage	2348 Industrial Parkway West	Hayward	Alameda
Copart Yard 78-Martinez	Yard	2701 Waterfront Road	Martinez	Contra Costa
Copart Yard 4-Fresno	Yard	1255 East Central	Fresno	Fresno
Overflow storage	Storage	4115 S. Orange Ave	Fresno	Fresno
Copart Yard 5-Bakersfield	Yard	2216 Coy Avenue	Bakersfield	Kern
Copart Yard	Yard	Crnr of Coy Ave. & Planz Rd	Bakersfield	Kern
Copart Yard 186-Longbeach	Yard	1000 E Lomita Blvd	Wilmington	LA City
Copart Yard 180-Sun Valley	Yard	11409 Penrose Street	Sun Valley	LA City
Copart Yard 43-Van Nuys	Yard	7519 Woodman Avenue	Van Nuys	LA City
Copart Yard 10-Los Angeles	Yard	8423 South Alameda	Los Angeles	LA City
Overflow storage	Storage	43927 90th Street East	Lancaster	LA County
Copart Yard	Yard	40th Street and Avenue L8	Palmdale	LA County
Copart Yard	Yard	1660 Green Island Rd	American Canyon	Napa
Overflow storage	Storage	2744 Green Island Road	American Canyon	Napa
Overflow storage	Storage	4332 N Webster Ave	Perris	Riverside
Copart Yard 2-Sacramento	Yard	8600 Morrison Creek Drive	Sacramento	Sacramento
Copart Yard 151-Antelope	Yard	8650 Antelope North Road	Antelope	Sacramento
Copart Vehicle Title	Office	8687 Weyand Ave	Sacramento	Sacramento
Processing Office				
Copart Yard 16-South	Yard	8687 Weyand Avenue	Sacramento	Sacramento
Sacramento				
Overflow storage	Storage	8780 Fruitridge Road	Sacramento	Sacramento
Copart Yard 7-San Bernardino	Yard	1203 S. Rancho Avenue	Colton	San Bernardino
Copart Yard 97-Rancho Cucamonga	Yard	12167 Arrow Route	Rancho Cucamonga	San Bernardino
Overflow storage	Storage	16399 Aster Road	Adelanto	San Bernardino
Overflow storage	Storage	801 Opal Avenue	Mentone	San Bernardino
Overflow storage	Storage	1369 Radar Road	San Diego	San Diego City
Overflow storage	Storage	6395 Lone Star Road	San Diego	San Diego City
Copart Yard 59-San Diego	Yard	7847 Airway Road	San Diego	San Diego City
National Powersport Auctions	Yard	12400 Stowe Dr	Poway	San Diego County
Overflow storage	Storage	12743 Llagas Avenue	San Martin	Santa Clara
Copart Yard 6-San Jose	Yard	13895 Llagas Avenue	San Martin	Santa Clara
Overflow storage	Storage	2542 Monterey Road	San Jose	Santa Clara
Overflow storage	Storage	344 Tully Road	San Jose	Santa Clara
Copart Yard 343-Redding	Yard	4603 Locust Road	Anderson	Shasta
Copart Yard 1-Vallejo	Yard	282 Fifth Street	Vallejo	Solano
Overflow storage	Storage	3190 Smith Drive, Unit B	Fairfield	Solano
Copart Payroll and Accounts Payable Office	Office	4610 W. America Dr	Fairfield	Solano
Overflow storage	Storage	792 Codoni Ave	Modesto	Stanislaus
Overflow storage	Storage	1025 Mission Rock	Santa Paula	Ventura
Overflow storage	Storage	950 Mission Rock Road Suite B	Santa Paula	Ventura

1	
2	EXHIBIT B – PEOPLE'S REPRESENTATIVES FOR NOTICE
3	Stacey N. Grassini
4	Senior Deputy District Attorney Special Operations Division
5	Contra Costa County District Attorney's Office
6	900 Ward Street, 4th Floor Martinez, CA 94553-1708
7	SGrassini@contracostada.org
8	Diane Newman
9	Deputy District Attorney Solano County District Attorney's Office
10	675 Texas Street, Suite 4500 Fairfield, CA 94533-6340
11	DMNewman@SolanoCounty.com
12	·
13	Douglas Whaley Supervising Deputy District Attorney
14	Sacramento County District Attorney's Office 906 G Street, Suite 700
15	Sacramento, CA 95814 Whaleyd@sac.da.org
16   17	Wilde yales suc. al. org
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	
30	

**EXHIBIT C-1 - PROSECUTOR PENALTIES** 

	Г	Civil Penalties -	C:	vil Penalties -			T		
							_		
	Business and		Health and Safety		Civil Penalties -		Total of Civil		
	Professions			§25515.2		Health and Safety		Penalties Paid to	
AGENCY	§	17200 Penalties	Penalties		§25189 Penalties		Agency-		
Alameda Co. District									
Attorney's Office	\$	59,952.00	\$	10,000.00	\$	-	\$	69,952.00	
Contra Costa Co. District									
Attorney's Office	\$	79,936.00	\$	10,000.00	\$	-	\$	89,936.00	
Fresno Co. District								· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
Attorney's Office	\$	44,960.00	\$	10,000.00	\$	••	\$	54,960.00	
Kern Co. District Attorney's							Ė		
Office	\$	7,850.00	\$	-	\$	_	\$	7,850.00	
Los Angeles City Attorney's						11	·		
Office	\$	49,960.00	\$	_	\$	-	\$	49,960.00	
Los Angeles Co. District	<u> </u>		<u> </u>				Ť	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	
Attorney's Office	\$	4,412.00	\$	-	\$	_	\$	4,412.00	
Napa Co. District Attorney's		- 7,5-	Ť		Ť		Ť	1,12100	
Office	\$	4,412.00	\$	_	\$	_	\$	4,412.00	
Riverside Co. District	Ť	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	Ť		<u> </u>		Ť	4,722.00	
Attorney's Office*	\$	487.00	\$	-	\$		\$	487.00	
Sacramento Co. District	<u> </u>	107.00	Ť		<u> </u>		Ť	407.00	
Attorney's Office**	\$	54,956.00	\$	10,000.00	\$	_	\$	64,956.00	
San Bernardino Co. District		0 1,000100	Ť	20,000.00	<u> </u>		7	04,550.00	
Attorney's Office	\$	49,960.00	\$	10,000.00	\$	_	\$	59,960.00	
San Diego City Attorney's	Ψ.	15,500.00	7	10,000.00	7		7	33,300.00	
Office	\$	4,897.00	\$	_	\$	_	\$	4,897.00	
San Diego Co. District	7	4,057.00	7		7		Y	4,037.00	
Attorney's Office	\$	54,960.00	\$	_	\$	_	\$	54,960.00	
Santa Clara Co. District	Y	3-1,500.00	<u> </u>		7		7	34,300.00	
Attorney's Office	\$	5,383.00	\$	_	\$		\$	5,383.00	
Shasta Co. District	7	3,363.00	7		7		Ą	3,363.00	
Attorney's Office	\$	49,960.00	\$	_	\$	_	\$	40 060 00	
Solano Co. District	پ	43,300.00	٧		٦		Ą	49,960.00	
Attorney's Office***	\$	46,456.00	\$	2,000.00	\$	6,500.00	\$	E4 0E6 00	
Stanislaus Co. District	7	40,430.00	٧	2,000.00	٦	0,300.00	Þ	54,956.00	
Attorney's Office	\$	487.00	\$		\$	_	\$	487.00	
Ventura Co, District	Ą	407.00	Ş		Ą		7	487.00	
Attorney's Office	\$	972.00	\$		\$		\$	073.00	
	P	3/2.00	Ş		Ş		Þ	972.00	
Total - Prosecutor									
Penalties	\$	520,000.00	\$	52,000.00	\$	6,500.00	\$	578,500.00	

\*RIVERSIDE Penalties: Business and Professions Code §17200: "Defendant" shall pay \$535.00 to the Riverside County District Attorney's Office as civil penalties for violations of Business and Professions Code section 17200. Pursuant to Business and Professions Code section 17206(b), said sum will be paid in the form of a check made payable to the District Attorney, County of Riverside; sums to be distributed as follows: 100 percent will be deposited into the consumer protection prosecution account in the General Fund of Riverside County.

### **EXHIBIT C-1 - PROSECUTOR PENALTIES**

\*\*SACRAMENTO: The money paid to the Sacramento District Attorney as penalties pursuant to Business and Professions Code section 17206, shall be for the sole and exclusive use of the District Attorney to augment the enforcement of consumer and environmental protection laws and in no manner shall supplant or cause any reduction of any portion of the District Attorney's budget.

\*\*\*SOLANO: Court further orders that these proceeds are designated as non-supplanting funds to be used by the Solano County District Attorney's Office only for the investigation and prosecution of environmental protection cases including, without limitation, those cases that can potentially be brought as unfair competition actions pursuant to B&P Code Section 17200 et seq.

Pursuant to Government Code section 26506, any civil penalties recovered in a civil action "brought jointly in the name of the People of the State of California by the Attorney General, one or more district attorneys, or by one or more city attorneys, or any combination thereof, shall be paid as approved by the court."

## **EXHIBIT C-2 - AGENCY PENALTIES**

Agency	Civil Penalties - Health and Safety Code §25515.2	vil Penalties - Health and afety 25189	Pe	Total of Civil nalties Paid to Agency
Alameda Co Hayward City Fire Dept. Haz Mat Unit	\$ 2,588.00	\$ 323.00	\$	2,911.00
Contra Costa Co Health Services Dept., Hazardous Materials Program	\$ 2,119.00	\$ 269.00	\$	2,388.00
Department of Toxic Substances Control	\$ -	\$ 13,000.00	\$	13,000.00
Fresno Co Community Health Dept., Environmental Health Division	\$ 2,119.00	\$ 267.00	\$	2,386.00
Kern Co Bakersfield City Fire Department	\$ 4,238.00	\$ 533.00	\$	4,771.00
Los Angeles Co Los Angeles City Fire	\$ 8,476.00	\$ 1,063.00	\$	9,539.00
Los Angeles Co Fire Health Hazmat	\$ 2,588.00	\$ 323.00	\$	2,911.00
Napa Co Dept. of Env. Mngt.	\$ 2,588.00	\$ 323.00	\$	2,911.00
Riverside Co Dept. of Health, Hazardous Materials Division	\$ 469.00	\$ 56.00	\$	525.00
Sacramento Co Environmental Mgmt, Dept.	\$ 6,826.00	\$ 856.00	\$	7,682.00
San Bernardino Co Fire Haz Mat	\$ 5,176.00	\$ 646.00	\$	5,822.00
San Diego Co Dept. of Environmental Health	\$ 5,176.00	\$ 646.00	\$	5,822.00
Santa Clara Co Dept. of Environmental Health, Haz Mat Compliance Div.	\$ 2,588.00	\$ 323.00	\$	2,911.00
Santa Clara Co City of San Jose Fire Department	\$ 937.00	\$ 113.00	\$	1,050.00
Shasta Co Environmental Health Divison	\$ 2,119.00	\$ 267.00	\$	2,386.00
Solano Co Environmental Health Services	\$ 2,587.00	\$ 323.00	\$	2,910.00
Stanislaus Co Dept. of Environmental Resources	\$ 469.00	\$ 56.00	\$	525.00
Ventura Co Environmental Health Division	\$ 937.00	\$ 113.00	\$	1,050.00
Total Agency Penalties	\$ 52,000.00	\$ 19,500.00	\$	71,500.00

### **EXHIBIT D-1 - PROSECUTOR COSTS**

Agency	Total Prosecutor Costs
Alameda Co. District Attorney's Office	\$28,209.00
Contra Costa Co. District Attorney's Office	\$30,253.00
Fresno Co. District Attorney's Office	\$11,260.00
Kern Co. District Attorney's Office	\$576.00
Los Angeles City Attorney's Office	\$12,245.00
Los Angeles Co. District Attorney's Office	\$576.00
Napa Co. District Attorney's Office	\$576.00
Riverside Co. District Attorney's Office*	\$576.00
Sacramento Co. District Attorney's Office**	\$15,100.00
San Bernardino Co. District Attorney's Office	\$14,374.00
San Diego City Attorney's Office	\$576.00
San Diego Co. District Attorney's Office	\$11,260.00
Santa Clara Co. District Attorney's Office	\$576.00
Shasta Co. District Attorney's Office	\$7,680.00
Solano Co. District Attorney's Office	\$11,520.00
Stanislaus Co. District Attorney's Office	\$576.00
Ventura Co. District Attorney's Office	\$576.00

**Total - Prosecutor Costs** 

\$146,509.00

\*RIVERSIDE Costs: "Defendant" shall pay \$576.00 as costs to the Riverside County District Attorney's Office. Said sum will be paid in the form of a check made payable to the District Attorney, County of Riverside.

\*\*SACRAMENTO: The money paid to the Sacramento District Attorney as as costs pursuant to this stipulation, shall be for the sole and exclusive use of the District Attorney as reimbursement for costs expended in the enforcement of the consumer protection and environmental laws and in no manner shall supplant or cause any reduction of any portion of the District Attorney's budget.

## **EXHIBIT D-2 - AGENCY COSTS**

Agency	Total to Age	Cost Amount ency
Alameda Co Hayward City Fire Dept. Haz Mat Unit	\$	179.00
Contra Costa Co Health Services Dept., Hazardous Materials Program	\$	179.00
Fresno Co Community Health Dept., Environmental Health Division	\$	179.00
Los Angeles Co Los Angeles City Fire	\$	179.00
Sacramento Co Environmental Mgmt. Dept.	\$	716.00
San Bernardino Co Fire Haz Mat	\$	1,521.50
San Diego Co Dept. of Environmental Health	\$	179.00
Shasta Co Environmental Health Divison	\$	179.00
Solano Co Environmental Health Services	\$	179.00
Total Agency Costs	\$	3,490.50